laboratory guide

physics

SECOND EDITION

PHYSICAL SCIENCE
STUDY COMMITTEE



physics

laboratory guide

SECOND EDITION

Physical
Science
Study
Committee

THE COPP CLARK PUBLISHING COMPANY
VANCOUVER TORONTO MONTREAL

Printed by
The Copp Clark Publishing Company
under arrangements with
EDUCATIONAL SERVICES INCORPORATED

Copyright © 1965 by Educational Services Incorporated. No part of the material covered by this copyright may be reproduced in any form without written permission of the publisher.

Acknowledgments

The ideas for the experiments in this guide come from many sources inside and outside the PSSC. The design of the apparatus, and the drafting and editing of the Guide itself are the fruit of the continued effort of many people. There was a great deal of exchange of ideas and mutual assistance between those working primarily on the text and those working primarily on the laboratory program. It is therefore practically impossible to mention them all here and to describe their individual contributions. Rather extensive acknowledgments will be found at the end of the text. Here I shall necessarily limit myself to a very brief outline of the major developments leading to the present Guide.

Early ideas about the role of laboratory work and ideas for experiments and procedures to make it effective emerged from discussions held in the winter and spring of 1957 by Professors Francis L. Friedman, Elbert P. Little, and Professors Edward M. Purcell, Walter C. Michels, Philip Morrison, and Jerrold R. Zacharias.

Substantial progress on the laboratory front was made at MIT during the summer of 1957 by the groups drafting the text. General questions concerning the place of the laboratory in the course were discussed by a group under the leadership of Professor Zacharias and problems of apparatus were attacked by another group headed by Professor Uno Ingard.

Work on experiments for Parts I and II was continued throughout the year. By the end of the summer of 1958 a preliminary edition of the Laboratory Guide for Parts I and II, edited by Richard Brinckerhoff of Phillips Exeter Academy, was ready for use in the schools.

During the academic year of 1958–1959 the Guide for Parts III and IV was developed, and during the summer of 1959 the Guide for Parts I and II was revised to take advantage of the experience gained in the schools. This job was done primarily by Judson B. Cross of Phillips Exeter Academy, James Henry, and James Strickland of the PSSC staff, Professor Guenter Schwarz of Florida State University, John H. Walters of Browne and Nichols School, and me. We were greatly assisted in the testing of many experiments by Ervin Hoffart and in the design of apparatus by Nathaniel C. Burwash.

In directing the efforts of the laboratory group in the early stages, I benefited from many suggestions made by the late Professor Friedman, who kept a watchful eye over our progress.

The First Edition of this Guide, published in 1960, differed only in minor parts from the Preliminary Edition preceding it.

In this Second Edition we have deleted some experiments which have been found to be marginal. On the other hand, we have added a few experiments, particularly in Part IV, where a need for new material has been expressed by many teachers. Most important among the additions are The Millikan Experiment and the one on The Spectrum of Hydrogen and Planck's Constant. The credit for the new experiments is shared by many PSSC staff members over the last few years.

The art work in this edition has been supervised by R. Paul Larkin. The photographs (old and new) were taken by Miss Berenice Abbott and Dr. Strickland, and by George Cope. Benjamin T. Richards acted as production editor.

Uri Haber-Schaim

Preface

Physics describes the world around us. We look for relations between the various facets of the observed behavior of nature. To understand this basic job of physics, the laboratory is a primary source of learning. Indeed, ideas, concepts, and definitions make real sense only when they are related to experience.

To provide this experience, a laboratory program was developed as an integral part of the Physical Science Study Committee course in physics. This program is designed to give students first-hand familiarity with physical realities. It enables them to wrestle with the main laws of physics for themselves, largely at their own pace.

The laboratory work is embodied in this Guide and the accompanying apparatus with which the experiments can be carried out. (Additional information and suggestions are included in the Teacher's Guide.) Most of the experiments in this guide are so presented as to pave the way for reading the text. Thus, students can investigate physical phenomena rather than just verifying known conclusions. When a student performs experiments, the results of which are not known to him in advance, he gains a feeling of personal participation in the discoveries of science; both science and the role of the scientist become more meaningful to him. For this reason, detailed instructions have been limited to purely technical aspects of the experiments; and the necessary guidance on the physical ideas is supplied in short introductions and by asking leading questions.

Students need not end an experiment at any definite point. Usually there is a first basic part of the experiment which all students can complete. Other students, proceeding at their own pace, will go to the more advanced questions which are raised toward the end of the description of each experiment. This procedure allows both the teacher and the student a considerable amount of choice, although there is enough direction so that important ideas are sure to be emphasized. Furthermore, this procedure stimulates an appreciable number of students to go into interesting, related investigations on their own.

The equipment provided to carry out this program is very simple. There are two reasons for this, one pedagogical and one financial. Complicated apparatus is apt to obscure the basic simplicity of the subject under investigation, while simple apparatus makes it easy both to see the principles of physics and to appreciate how these principles influence the design of measuring instruments. In addition, because the apparatus is made of common materials, it can be duplicated and used at home. Thus the laboratory helps to prevent a split between the student's world and that of science. (In fact these two worlds are the same, and when they appear to be separated, science has become a rigid doctrine instead of a continuing study of the world.)

The Laboratory Guide, like the text, is divided into four parts. The first part mainly concerns such questions as—"How long does it take?" "How big or small is it?" "How fast does it move?" Parts II to IV deal with the basic laws and concepts of optics, dynamics, electricity, and atomic physics. There, typical questions are—"How is light refracted?" "How does the acceleration depend on the force?" "What is the mass of an electron?"

During the course of laboratory work students learn that experiments are generated as a result of ideas, that experiments are designed so that their results can be interpreted, and that they are incomplete unless they are analyzed.

This laboratory program, like the other parts of the PSSC course, has been tried out by hundreds of teachers and many thousands of students. Their experience shows that the program can be highly successful. The experiments indeed give depth and meaning to the text, and in return, the text, in helping to interpret the experiments, leads back into the laboratory. Thus, the interplay of theory and experiment, so characteristic of the development of science, is carried on in a way that students can grasp.

To students

This Guide is designed to help you with your laboratory work. It provides a general introduction to the problems at hand, gives you technical hints, but leaves the thinking to you. You will be on your own a great deal. If you are like the many others who have used these experiments, as you work through them, you will soon learn to enjoy this kind of laboratory work.

Throughout this Guide you will find many questions. Finding the answer to these questions may sometimes require a little thought about what you have done before, or it may require a short calculation. Sometimes more experimentation will be called for. It is up to you to decide what to do in each case.

Good working habits are useful. Always read the whole description of an experiment before you begin to work so you will have a clear understanding of what you are trying to do. Keep a clear record of your experiment as you perform it. Then you will have the data to refer to when needed, and sufficient information to know what you did.

In the course of an experiment, whenever necessary, repeat your measurements a few times. Several readings are usually better than one. You should decide when more measurements are needed.

Many of these experiments require the help of one or more partners. Discuss results with your partners. You may learn more by working together on an analysis than by going at it alone.

You will probably not find it possible to do all the parts of every experiment. Do not rush; you will get more out of doing half the things suggested in an experiment thoroughly than all of them superficially. Often, part of the analysis may be done at home.

The apparatus used in most experiments is quite simple. You can make many items yourself and experiment further at home.

Contents

EXPERIMENT

part I

I–1	Short Time Intervals	1
I–2	Large Distances	4
I–3	Small Distances	6
I–4	Analysis of an Experiment	7
I–5	Motion: Velocity and Acceleration	9
I–6	Molecular Layers	10
I–7	Natural Temperature Scale	11

part II

II– 1	Reflection from a Plane Mirror	13
II– 2	Images Formed by a Concave Mirror	14
II– 3	Refraction	15
II– 4	Images Formed by a Converging Lens	17
II– 5	The "Refraction" of Particles	19
II– 6	Waves on a Coil Spring	20
II– 7	Pulses in a Ripple Tank	23
II– 8	Periodic Waves	25
II– 9	Refraction of Waves	26
II–10	Waves and Obstacles	27
II–11	Waves from Two Point Sources	28
II–12	Interference and Phase	28
II–13	Young's Experiment	29
II–14	Diffraction of Light by a Single Slit	31
II–15	Resolution	32
II–16	Measurement of Short Distances by Interference	33

part III

III– 1	Changes in Velocity with a Constant Force	35
III– 2	The Dependence of Acceleration on Force and Mass	37

EXPERIMENT

III– 3	Inertia and Gravitational Mass	38
III– 4	Forces on a Ball in Flight	41
III– 5	Centripetal Force	44
III– 6	Law of Equal Areas	46
III– 7	Momentum Changes in an Explosion	48
III– 8	The Cart and the Brick	50
III– 9	A Collision in Two Dimensions	51
III–10	Simulated Nuclear Collisions	53
III–11	Changes in Potential Energy	55
III–12	The Energy of a Simple Pendulum	56
III–13	A Head-on Collision	59

part IV

IV– 1	Electrified Objects	61
IV– 2	Electrostatic Induction	62
IV– 3	The Force Between Two Charged Spheres	63
IV– 4	The Addition of Electric Forces	67
IV– 5	Driving Force and Terminal Velocity	67
IV– 6	The Millikan Experiment	69
IV– 7	The Charge Carried by Ions in Solution	70
IV– 8	The Charge on a Capacitor	72
IV– 9	Energy Transferred by an Electric Motor	73
IV–10	The Magnetic Field of a Current	74
IV–11	The Measurement of a Magnetic Field in Fundamental Units	77
IV–12	The Mass of the Electron	79
IV–13	The Magnetic Field Near a Long, Straight Wire	82
IV–14	Randomness in Radioactive Decay	83
IV–15	The Spectrum of Hydrogen and Planck's Constant	84

Laboratory Guide

part I

I-1 Short Time Intervals

Everybody knows how to measure the time it takes an athlete to run 100 yards. If reasonable accuracy is sufficient, an ordinary wrist watch with a second hand will do. But, can you measure the time it takes the vibrating clapper of an electric bell to make one complete vibration? Connect a battery to a bell for a few seconds and try! (Fig. 1.) You will find the time of one vibration so short that it is impossible to measure it with only a watch. In this experiment you will learn a method of measuring such short times.

Let us start with a larger "clapper," a loaded strip of steel, which does not vibrate so rapidly (Fig. 2). Pull the clamp sidewise and release it. With your wrist watch, can you measure the time it takes the blade to complete one vibration?

Unlike the motion of the athlete, the motion of the blade repeats itself regularly. You can make use of this repetition by measuring the time it takes to complete, say, 10 vibrations. Will this increase the accuracy of your measurement?



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4

You can easily count the vibrations of the blade, but you need a way of counting faster to be able to count the vibrations of the clapper. One way of doing this utilizes a disc stroboscope (Fig. 3). First cover all the stroboscope slits except one. While looking at the vibrating clamp, rotate the stroboscope slowly in front of your eye as shown in Fig. 3. By changing the rate of rotation, "stop" the clamp's motion at one end of its swing. How does the time of one rotation of the disc compare with the time of one vibration of the clamp? Is this the only possible relationship for which the blade appears to be stopped?

Your partner can now measure how long it takes you to complete ten revolutions of the stroboscope. Then you can calculate how long it takes the clamp to make one vibration.

Move the C clamp to the middle of the blade (closer to the table) and try again to "stop" the motion of the vibrating blade (pull the clamp and not the end of the blade). Try to "stop" the motion with two slits open opposite to each other. What is now the relation between the time required to complete one revolution of the stroboscope and one vibration of the clamp? How long does one vibration take? What is the time of one complete vibration when the C clamp is moved still closer to the table?

Before you try to measure the time of one vibration of the bell clapper as it stands, attach a clothespin to it as shown in Fig. 4. This will slow the clapper down and will give you a chance to practice using the stroboscope. Try to stop the motion of the clapper and clothespin with four open slits on your stroboscope. To calculate the time of one vibration of the loaded clapper, you may find it convenient to measure the time of twenty rotations of the disc.

Repeat your measurement with all twelve slits open. How can you be sure that your calculations in both cases do not give twice the correct value?

Now you can find the time of one vibration of the bell clapper without the clothespin. By how many orders of magnitude have you extended your ability to measure short times?

By finding the time of one vibration of the clapper, you have calibrated the bell and can now use it to measure short time intervals.

You can use this apparatus as a recording timer to measure the time interval between two handclaps (Fig. 5). When the paper tape is pulled through, the clapper will leave marks at equally spaced time intervals. Try several practice runs to make sure that the clapper is marking the paper tape and to determine how fast to pull the paper tape to have the marks adequately spaced for counting. While you are pulling the paper tape through the timer, have your partner clap twice. Turn on the timer at the sound of the first clap and turn it off at the second clap. Count the number of marks on the paper tape and determine the time interval between claps.



Figure 5



Figure 6

We cannot "stop" a nonrepetitive motion by viewing it through a stroboscope. However, by counting how many times we see the moving object while it moves from one place to another, we can find how long it takes to move this distance. To facilitate the counting, we can make a permanent record of the motion by photographing it through a stroboscope (Fig. 6) or by using the timer.

Tie the tape to a small object and measure the time it takes the object to fall from the table to the floor.

How could you use the tape and a watch to calibrate the bell timer?

Compare the time of one vibration measured when the clapper vibrates freely with the time of one vibration when the clapper hits the tape.

I-2 Large Distances

Distances of the order of a meter are easily measured directly with a ruler. For much larger distances the use of a ruler becomes impractical, and in some cases impossible.

Various instruments can extend our ability to measure larger distances. You can calibrate them experimentally and use them successfully even without understanding how they work. A mathematical calibration, on the other hand, requires such an understanding.

To measure a distance of the order of a kilometer we like to have an instrument simple enough to be calibrated mathematically. The parallax viewer shown in Fig. 1 is such an instrument.

To understand what we mean by parallax, look at a pencil first with one eye and then with the other, and notice its apparent shift with respect to the background. This shift is called parallax. To see it on a larger scale, sight two objects which form a straight line with you and are at greatly different distances. Move a few steps at right angles to your line of sight and look again. Notice that the two objects are no longer in line with you. We shall use this shift to find the distance to the nearer of the two objects; the farther one will serve only as a reference point in the background.

To determine the shift quantitatively go back to the place where the two objects were in line (B in Fig. 2). From that point measure a base line BC perpendicular to the sight line. At C use the parallax viewer and through the pinhole sight the reference point over the center mark of the scale. Then record the scale reading that lies on the line of sight to the nearer object. From the scale reading and the distance between the two sighting positions (the base line) you can find the distance to the nearer of the two objects.

Figure 2 is a schematic drawing of the situation. Note that the angles θ and θ' are not very different. If the reference point chosen is very far away compared with the distance to be measured, θ practically equals θ' and the triangles BDC and OCS are similar. How can you express the distance BD in terms of the length of the base line BC, the distance between the scale and the pinhole CO, and the parallax reading on the scale OS?



Figure 1



Figure 2. The size of the viewer as indicated by the lengths *CO* and *OS* is vastly exaggerated in comparison with the distances *BC* and *BD*.

In practice, it is not necessary that the distant reference point be initially in line with the object. You can sight the reference point as before and read the line of sight to the object (S_1 in Fig. 3a). Then move at right angles to the direction of the point of reference, sight again, and read the direction of the object (S_2 in Fig. 3a). From the similar triangles *ADC* and S_1CS_2 you can calculate the distance *AD*.

Notice that the base line and the perpendicular from the object to it need not intersect (Fig. 3b).

Measure several distances to objects in the kilometer range, using different reference points. Which of these measurements do you consider most accurate?



Figure 3

I-3 Small Distances

You can measure the thickness of a piece of cardboard with a ruler. For much smaller lengths the readings from the ruler become very inaccurate. Using a ruler to measure the thickness of a hair will only show that a hair is very thin, something you knew before you started. The optical micrometer (Fig. 1) permits considerable extension of your ability to measure very short distances.

To see how sensitive it is, hold your micrometer so that you can see the image of the reference nail in the mirror. Now align the thread until it points directly at the reference pin and read the position of the thread on the scale. Insert a single piece of paper between the mirror and the glass plate (Fig. 2) and find the new direction in which the thread is aligned with the nail. How does the distance between the two scale readings compare with the thickness of the paper?

The optical micrometer can be calibrated mathematically, but this is rather complicated. It is much simpler to calibrate it experimentally. To do this, you may use thin objects of known thickness, such as wires of specified diameters, which can be inserted between the mirror and the glass plate.

You can also calibrate the micrometer with objects whose thickness you can calculate. For example, with a ruler you can measure the thickness of a writing pad, count the number of sheets, and calculate the thickness of each. Then insert separate sheets, one by one, and read the position of the thread on the scale to calibrate the micrometer. Repeat each step to see whether your scale readings fall on the same places, and so get an idea of the accuracy of your calibration. Use your micrometer to find the thickness of a hair, a piece of aluminum foil, or a sheet of cellophane.

Press two razor blades together and make a pair of fine, parallel cuts on a piece of paper. How could you use the optical micrometer to determine the distance between the two cuts? What assumptions have you made?



Figure 1



Figure 2

I-4 Analysis of an Experiment

In Table 1 are the results of an experiment. You are asked to present and analyze these results in a form which will enable you to draw conclusions about the nature of the process under investigation and to predict the outcome of similar experiments. The presentation and analysis of experimental results is an essential part of physics.

The experiment was an investigation of the time it takes water to pour out of a can through a hole in the bottom. As you would expect, this time depends on the size of the hole and the amount of water in the can.

To find the dependence on the size of the hole, four large cylindrical containers of water of the same size were emptied through relatively small circular openings of different diameters. To find the dependence on the amount of water, the same containers were filled to different heights.

Each measurement was repeated several times, and the averages of the times (in seconds) that each container took to empty have been entered in the table. Because of the difficulty of measuring short times accurately with a watch, there are fewer significant figures in the measurement of short times than in those of the longer times.

Table 1

Times to Empty (sec)

d in cm \ h in cm	30	10	4	1
1.5	73.0	43.5	26.7	13.5
2	41.2	23.7	15.0	7.2
3	18.4	10.5	6.8	3.7
5	6.8	3.9	2.2	1.5

All the information we shall use is in the table, but a graphical presentation will enable us to make predictions and will greatly facilitate the discovery of mathematical relationships.

First, plot the time versus the diameter of the opening for a constant height, say 30 cm. It is customary to mark the independent variable (in this case, the diameter d) on the horizontal

axis and the dependent variable (here the time t) on the vertical axis. To get maximum accuracy on your plot, you will wish the curve to extend across the whole sheet of paper. Choose your scales on the two axes accordingly, without making them awkward to read.

Connect the points by a smooth curve. Is there just one way of doing this? From your curve, how accurately can you predict the time it would take to empty the same container if the diameter of the opening was 4 cm? 8 cm?

Although you can use the curve to interpolate between your measurements and roughly extrapolate beyond them, you have not yet found an algebraic expression for the relationship between t and d. From your graph you can see that t decreases rather rapidly with d; this suggests some inverse relationship. Furthermore, you may argue that the time of flow should be simply related to the area of the opening, since the larger the area of the opening, the more water will flow through it in the same time. This suggests trying a plot of t versus $1/d^2$.

To do this, add a column for the values of $1/d^2$ in your notebook and, again choosing a convenient scale, plot t versus $1/d^2$ and connect the points with a smooth curve. What do you find? Was your conjecture correct? Can you write down the algebraic relation between t and d for the particular height of water used?

To find whether this kind of relationship between t and d also holds when the container is filled to different heights, on the same sheet of graph paper plot the graphs of t versus $1/d^2$ for the other heights. What do you conclude?

Notice that the graph for $h = 1$ cm extends upward very slightly. Make a special plot of these data on a larger time scale so that you will use the whole sheet. What do you observe? On the basis of your data, what can you say about the algebraic relation between t and d for $h = 1$ cm?

Now investigate the dependence of t on h when the diameter of the opening stays fixed. Take the case of $d = 1.5$ cm, which is the first row. Make a plot in which h will be marked on the horizontal axis and connect your points by a curve. Extrapolate the curve toward the origin. Does it pass through it? Would you expect it to do so?

How can you use your plots of t versus $1/d^2$ to predict t for $h = 20$ *cm* and $d = 4$ cm?

There is no simple geometric consideration to guide us to the right mathematical relation between t and h. You can try to guess it from the curve. It may be helpful to rotate the graph paper through 90° and look first at h as a function of t, and then at t as a function of h. If you succeed, check by proper graphing to see if the same kind of relation between t and h holds for $d = 5$ cm.

If you are familiar with logarithms, you can check to see if the relation belongs to a general class of relations, such as a power law, $t \propto h^n$. To do this, plot log t versus log h (or simply t versus h on log-log paper). What do you obtain? What is the value of n?

Can you find the general expression for time of flow as a function of both h and d? Calculate t for $h = 20$ cm and $d = 4$ cm and compare the answer with that found graphically. Which do you think is more reliable?

I-5 Motion: Velocity and Acceleration

Studying the motion of an object requires a record of the object's position at different times, preferably at regularly spaced time intervals. With such a record, you can study quite irregular motion—for example, the motion of your hand while you walk.

Set up the timer as shown in Fig. 1, grasp the end of the tape in your hand, and walk several steps, swinging your hand freely as you pull the tape, while your partner operates the timer.

From an inspection of your tape, can you find where your velocity was highest? Where it was lowest? Can you find where the acceleration was (a) greatest? (b) smallest? If you choose the time interval between two consecutive marks as a unit of time, a "tick," what does the distance between any two adjacent marks represent?

Find the average velocity during each interval of five "ticks." Plot the velocity as a function of time, taking five ticks as your unit of time. Was the velocity constant? If not, by what percent did it vary from the average velocity over the whole trip?

From this graph, plot the position versus time by measuring the area under the curve as a function of time. Compare the positions found this way with the positions measured directly on the tape.

From the plot of velocity versus time, make a plot of acceleration versus time. How good was your early guess as to the times of the greatest and the smallest accelerations?

A falling body certainly accelerates. Tie a block of wood or a weight to the tape of the timer and find its acceleration in $\frac{\text{cm}}{(5\ \text{ticks})^2}$ when it falls freely. Is the acceleration constant?



Figure 1

I-6 Molecular Layers

Molecules and atoms are so small that we cannot see them to measure their size. By using an indirect method, however, we can get an indication of the order of magnitude of the dimensions of some molecules.

A small quantity of oil placed on the surface of water will spread out to form an exceedingly thin film. The thickness of this film is at least equal to the thickness of one oil molecule. Hence if we can find the thickness of the layer of oil, we can conclude that the thickness of the oil molecule is at most no greater than the thickness of the film.

Oleic acid is a good example of an easily available material that will form a thin film. One drop of pure oleic acid dropped into a small swimming pool will cover its entire surface. Of course, if the whole surface of the pool is covered, you are not sure the film could not be thinner. To get the thinnest possible film in a small container, we shall use a drop of a dilute solution of oleic acid in alcohol.

Measure 5 cm^3 of oleic acid and 95 cm^3 of alcohol into a graduated cylinder and place the solution in a clean bottle. Shake the mixture well. Then measure off 5 cm^3 of this solution and mix with 45 cm^3 of alcohol. Calculate the concentration of this solution.

Fill a large, clean, shallow tray with water to a depth of about one centimeter. Dusting the surface of the water lightly with chalk or lycopodium powder will make the film visible. (Chalk rubbed on sandpaper will produce clean chalk dust.)

To make sure the film is caused by the oleic acid and not by the alcohol, first drop one or two drops of alcohol in the tank with the eyedropper. What do you observe?

Now use the eyedropper to apply a drop of oleic acid solution. Measure the average diameter of the film and calculate its area. Do two drops form twice the area of one drop? How about three drops? What conclusions do you draw from your answers?

Find out how many drops of this size there are in a cubic centimeter and, using the volume of the drop and the area of the layer, calculate the thickness of the layer. Estimate the accuracy of this calculation, considering the error introduced in each step.

If the thickness of the layer were magnified to 1 cm, how tall would you be on the same scale?

If the layer is considered to be one molecule thick and the molecules are assumed to be essentially cubes, how many molecules would fill one cubic centimeter?

The density of oleic acid is 0.89 gm/cm^3. What is the mass of one molecule?

If you know that the molecular weight of oleic acid is 282, can you calculate Avogadro's number?

I-7 Natural Temperature Scale

The volume of a gas, such as air, changes when the gas is heated or cooled. To see this yourself, fit a one-hole stopper and a 2-inch piece of glass tubing tightly into the neck of a flask (Fig. 1). Hold the flask in your hands for a few seconds to warm the air inside. With a medicine dropper introduce a drop of water into the glass tube and let the flask cool. What happens to the drop of water? Try to control the position of the drop by warming or cooling the air in the flask. (Don't let it fall into the flask.) You might use the position of the drop in the glass tube as a thermometer. But how would you choose a scale for this device?



Figure 1



Figure 2

To set a temperature scale you need temperatures that can be accurately reproduced. Two such temperatures are the boiling and freezing points of water. We shall find the volumes V_1 and V_2 of a given amount of gas at these two temperatures and at the same pressure. You can give the temperatures T_1 and T_2 any two different numerical values you choose. A straight line on a T versus V plot then defines the rest of the temperature scale. The numerical units of the temperature scale are arbitrary.

Immerse a flask in boiling water as shown in Fig. 2. After about 5 minutes, the air in the flask will be at the temperature of boiling water. With your finger firmly over the end of the glass tube (to prevent air from entering the



Figure 3

flask), invert the flask in a beaker of ice water (Fig. 3). Remove your finger when the neck of the flask is completely submerged, and cover the entire flask with the ice-water mixture. After a few minutes the temperature of the air in the flask will equal that of the ice water. Why must you make sure that the flask is dry and the fittings are tight?

Before removing the flask from the ice water, make sure the pressure of the air inside the flask equals the pressure of the air in the room. You can do this by adjusting the flask until the levels of the water inside and outside the flask are the same. Now place your finger over the end of the glass tube and remove the flask from the ice water. By carefully measuring the volume of the water in the flask and the volume of the flask itself (equipped with stopper and glass tube), determine the volume of air at the boiling point and at the freezing point.

To plot the temperature of the gas versus its volume, draw a pair of perpendicular axes on graph paper. On the horizontal axis mark off a scale for volume and record the two measured volumes V_1 and V_2. On the vertical axis you can mark two points T_1 and T_2 quite arbitrarily. Mark the two points on the paper which represent the volumes and the temperatures at the freezing and boiling points and draw a straight line through them all the way to the intersection with the temperature axis. This line establishes the relation between volume and temperature.

Now you can choose units for temperature. For example, to get the centigrade scale (generally used in science and also called the Celsius scale), we choose the freezing point 0 and the boiling point 100 and divide the vertical axis into equal parts. What is the temperature in degrees centigrade when the volume is zero?

So far you have constructed an air thermometer. Repeat the experiment, using a different gas, such as oxygen, and plot the results on the same graph. How does the line compare with that for air?

Use this gas thermometer to measure the temperature of tap water and compare the result with that obtained with your air thermometer. Also measure the temperature with a mercury thermometer and see how closely it has been calibrated to agree with the natural temperature scale.

Did you change the temperature of the water by measuring it?

part II

II-1 Reflection from a Plane Mirror

Hold a pencil vertically at arm's length. In your other hand, hold a second pencil about 15 cm closer than the first. Without moving the pencils, look at them while you move your head from side to side. Which way does the nearer pencil appear to move with respect to the one behind it when you move your head to the left? Now move the pencils closer together and observe the apparent relative motion between them as you move your head. Where must the pencils be if there is to be no apparent relative motion, that is, no parallax, between them?

Now we shall use parallax to locate the image of a nail seen in a plane mirror. Support a plane mirror vertically on the table by fastening it to a wood block with a rubber band. Stand a nail on its head about 10 cm in front of the mirror. Where do you think the image of the nail is? Move your head from side to side while looking at the nail and the image. Is the image in front of, at the same place as, or behind the real nail? Locate the position of the image of the nail by moving a second nail around until there is no parallax between it and the image of the first nail. In this way, locate the position of the image for several positions of the object. How do the distances of the image and object from the reflecting surface compare?

We can also locate the position of an object by drawing rays which show the direction in which light travels from it to our eye. Stick a pin vertically into a piece of paper resting on a sheet of soft cardboard. This will be the object pin. Establish the direction in which light comes to your eye from the pin by sticking two additional pins into the paper along the line of sight. Your eye should be at arm's length from the pins as you stick them in place so that all three pins will be in clear focus simultaneously. Look at the object pin from several widely different directions and, with more pins, mark the new lines of sight to the object pin. Where do these lines intersect?

We can use the same method to locate an image. On a fresh piece of paper, locate the position of the image of a pin seen in a plane mirror by tracing at least three rays from widely different directions. Mark the position of the mirror on the paper with a straight line before removing it. Where do the lines of sight converge?

Draw rays showing the path of the light from the object pin to the points on the mirror where the light was reflected to your eye. What do you conclude about the angles formed between the mirror surface and the light paths?

Arrange two mirrors at right angles on the paper with a nail as an object somewhere between them. Locate all the images by parallax. From what you have learned about reflection in this experiment, show that these images are where you would expect to find them.

II-2 Images Formed by a Concave Mirror

Look at your image in a concave mirror. Is it right side up or upside down? Do the size and position of the image change when you move the mirror toward you or away from you?

To investigate systematically the images formed by a concave mirror, arrange a mirror and a lighted flashlight bulb on a long strip of paper as shown in Fig. 1. Start with the bulb at one end of the paper tape and locate its image by parallax. Is the image right side up or upside down?

Now move the object toward the mirror in small steps, marking and labeling the positions of both object and image as you go. Continue this until the image moves off the end of the tape and can no longer be recorded. How does the change in the position of the image compare with that of the object? Where (on your tape) do you expect the image to be when the object is at least several meters away? Check it. With the object far away, you may find it easier to locate its image by finding where it focuses on a small (1 or 2 cm) piece of paper. The location of the image when the object is very far away is the principal focus of the mirror.

Now place the bulb as close to the mirror as possible and locate the image by parallax. Is it upside down or right side up? Again move the object away from the mirror in small steps, marking and labeling the position of object and image until the image is no longer on the tape.

Measure S_o and S_i, the distances from the principal focus to the object and image respectively, for the pairs of points. Since S_i clearly decreases when S_o increases, try plotting both S_i as a function of $1/S_o^2$ and S_i as a function of $\frac{1}{S_o}$. What do you conclude about the mathematical relation between S_o and S_i?

Where will the image be if the object is placed at the principal focus? Can you see it?



Figure 1

II-3 Refraction

It is convenient to study the refraction of light in terms of the angle of incidence and the angle of refraction. When light passes from air into water, for example, the angle of refraction is the angle between a ray in the water and the normal to the water surface. In this experiment we shall try to find the relation between this angle and the angle of incidence.

Use a pin to scratch a vertical line down the middle of the straight side of a semicircular, transparent plastic box. Fill the box half full of water and align it on a piece of graph paper resting on soft cardboard as shown in Fig. 1, making sure the bottom of the vertical line on the box falls on the intersection of two lines on the paper. Stick a pin on the line passing beneath the center of the box as shown in the figure. Be sure the pin is vertical.

Now look at the pin through the water from the curved side and move your head until the pin and the vertical mark on the box are in line. Mark this line of sight with another pin. What do you conclude about the bending of light as it passes from air into water and from water into air at an angle of incidence of 0°?

Change the position of the first pin to obtain an angle of incidence of about 20°. With the second pin, mark the path of light going from the first pin to the vertical line on the box and through the water. Repeat this for angles of incidence up to about 80°. To ensure a sharp image of the first pin at large angles, it should never be placed more than 4 cm away from the vertical line on the box. (The pinholes give a permanent record of the angles.)

Is the difference between the angles of incidence and of refraction constant? Is their ratio constant?

Draw a large circle around the point where



Figure 1

the light rays enter the water and find the ratio of the semichord AC to the semichord FD (see Fig. 2) for each case. Since the ratio of the semichords is equal to the ratio of the sine of the angle of incidence to the sine of the angle of refraction, it is simpler to find this ratio by measuring the angles and calculating the ratio of their sines.



Figure 2

Plot the ratio of the sines as a function of the angle of incidence. Also plot, on the same graph, the ratio of the angles as a function of the angle of incidence. Which ratio is more nearly constant? What simple mathematical relation do you think best describes the refraction of light?

Is the path of the light through the water the same when its direction is reversed? Investigate this with your apparatus.

Can you predict how light will bend when it goes obliquely through a block of glass with parallel sides?

Repeat the experiment, using another liquid in the box, and plot the ratios of the sines of the angles. Does this liquid refract differently from water?

II-4 Images Formed by a Converging Lens

Look through a converging lens at an object. Is the image you see larger or smaller than the object? Is it right side up or upside down? Do the size and position of the image change when you move the lens with respect to the object?

To investigate the images formed by a converging lens, arrange a lens and a lighted flashlight bulb on a long strip of paper as shown in Fig. 1. Start with the bulb at one end of the paper tape and locate its image by parallax. Is the image right side up or upside down?

Now move the object toward the lens in small steps, marking and labeling the positions of both object and image as you go. Continue this until the image moves off the end of the tape and can no longer be recorded. How does the change in the position of the image compare with that of the object? Where (on your tape) do you expect the image to be when the object is at least several meters away? Check it. With the object far away, you may find it easier to locate its image on a piece of paper. The location of the image when the object is very far



Figure 1

away is the principal focus of the lens. How can you convince yourself that the lens has two principal foci, one on each side and at the same distance from the center?

Now place the bulb as close to the lens as possible and again locate the image by parallax. Is it upside down or right side up? Again move the object away from the lens in small steps, marking and labeling the positions of object and image until the image is no longer on the tape.

Measure S_o and S_i, the distance from the principal foci to the object and image respectively, for the pairs of points. (The distance S_o is measured from the principal focus on the object side of the lens and S_i is always measured from the principal focus on the opposite side from the object.) Since S_i clearly decreases when S_o increases, try plotting S_i as a function of $1/S_o$. What do you conclude about the mathematical relation between S_o and S_i?

Where will the image be if the object is placed at the principal focus? Can you see it?

II-5 The "Refraction" of Particles

A steel ball rolling across a horizontal surface moves in a straight line at nearly constant speed. If the ball intercepts a slope obliquely, the speed it gains as it rolls down the slope will change its direction. At the bottom of the slope it will move off in a straight line in a direction different from its original direction.

The path of a ball moving this way resembles the path of light as it is refracted in going, for example, from air into glass. In going from the top to the bottom of the slope, the ball changes direction; at the interface between two media, light changes direction. In the model, therefore, the upper level corresponds to one medium (air); the lower level corresponds to the other medium (glass); the slope corresponds to the interface between them. We shall examine the paths of "refracted" particles to see if they change direction according to Snell's law, with the apparatus shown in Fig. 1.

Roll a steel ball down the full length of the launching ramp on the upper level so it strikes the slope obliquely. Remove the carbon paper and, for identification, label the tracks made by the ball on the upper and lower planes. Repeat the procedure five or six times at different angles of incidence, always being careful to start the ball from the same point on the launching ramp to give it the same initial speed each time.

Measure and record the angles of incidence and refraction as measured from normals to the horizontal edges of the slope. Can this change in direction of the ball be described by Snell's law? What does this particle model of light predict about the speed of light in water compared with its speed in air?

Could you make a "lens" that would focus rolling balls?



Figure 1. Arrange two horizontal surfaces connected by a short slope. Make sure that the two surfaces are level. Tape a sheet of white paper on each surface so that the edges coincide with the top and bottom edges of the slope and place sheets of soft carbon paper over the white sheets.

II-6 Waves on a Coil Spring

You probably have seen various kinds of waves but have not experimented with them. With this experiment you will begin a detailed study of waves.

While your partner holds one end of a coil spring on a smooth floor, pull on the other end until the spring is stretched to a length of about 10 meters. With a little practice you will learn to generate a short, easily observed pulse. Look at the pulse as it moves along the spring. Does its shape change? Does its speed change?

Shake some pulses of different sizes and shapes. Does the speed of propagation depend on the size of the pulse? To find the speed more accurately you can let the pulse go back and forth a few times, assuming that the speed of the pulse does not change upon reflection. How do you check this assumption?

Change the tension in the spring. Does this affect the speed of the pulse? Would you consider two springs of the same material stretched to different lengths to be the same or different media?

You and your partner can send two pulses at the same time. What happens to the pulses as they collide? Try it with pulses of different sizes and shapes, traveling along the same side and along opposite sides of the spring.

When the pulses meet, how does the maximum displacement of the spring compare with the maximum displacement of each pulse alone? You can determine the largest displacement of an individual pulse by moving your hand a measured distance as it generates the pulse. A third partner can mark on the floor with chalk the largest displacement of the spring when the pulses meet.

We can investigate the passage of waves from one medium to another by tying together two coil springs on which waves travel with different speeds (Fig. 1). Send a pulse first in one direction and then in the other. What happens when the pulses reach the junction between the two springs?

Tie a spring to a long, thin thread (Fig. 2). How does a pulse sent along the spring reflect when it reaches the thread? How does this reflection compare with that at a fixed end? Is the speed of the pulse on the thread greater or less than that on the spring?



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 1. (Insert shows how damper is placed.)

II-7 Pulses in a Ripple Tank

Set up a ripple tank, screen, and light source as shown in Fig. 1. Fill the tank with water to a depth of $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ cm and measure the depth at all four corners to be sure the tank is level.

You now have a very handy tool for studying the behavior of waves; it has an advantage over the coil spring, since the direction of propagation of the waves is not restricted to a line. To see this, just touch the water with your finger tip. What is the shape of the pulse you see on the screen? Is the speed of the pulse the same in all directions?

You can also generate straight pulses in the ripple tank by rolling a dowel through a fraction of a revolution in the water. (Place your hand flat on top of the rod and then move it forward about a centimeter.) Practice making such pulses until you can make them give sharp, bright images on the screen. Do the pulses remain straight as they move along the tank?

Place a straight barrier in the tank and generate pulses that strike it at an angle of incidence of 0°. In what direction do they reflect? Reflect pulses at different angles of incidence. Are the reflected pulses straight? How does the angle of reflection compare with the angle of incidence?

Instead of making direct measurements to answer the last question quantitatively, you can study a few situations which will clearly demonstrate the relation between the two angles. For example, observe how a circular pulse reflects from a straight barrier. Can you locate the virtual source of the reflected pulse (the image of the source of the incident pulse)? How would you explain the result?

Bend a length of large-diameter rubber tubing as shown in Fig. 2. The shape you give it is very close to a parabola. What do you observe when you use this tubing as a reflector for straight pulses in the tank? Find the focus of the parabola from the reflection of straight pulses and mark it on the screen. Try to follow the motion of several small segments of the pulse. How would you indicate the direction of motion of each segment? How does your way of indicating this relate to light rays? Are the rays representing the initial direction of the pulse parallel to each other?

Generate circular pulses at the focus of the parabola. What is the shape of the reflected pulse? Are there other points which will give the same result? What must you assume about the relation between the angles of incidence and reflection to explain your observations?



Figure 2



Figure 1

II-8 Periodic Waves

The relation $v = f\lambda$ for the speed, frequency, and wavelength of a periodic wave holds for all periodic waves. We shall now apply this relation to waves in the ripple tank and on a coil spring.

Set up the straight wave generator as shown in Fig. 1 (the water should be $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ cm deep). Practice using it at various frequencies. Look at the waves through your stroboscope (2 or 4 open slits) and "stop" their motion.

Adjust the wave generator to a low frequency and have your partner help you measure the frequency of rotation of the stroboscope while you "stop" the waves. How is this frequency related to that of the waves?

To find the wavelength, "stop" the wave pattern with the stroboscope and have your partner place two pencils or rulers parallel to the waves and several wavelengths apart.

Make several measurements of frequency and wavelength and calculate the speed of propagation. How accurate is your determination of the speed? Notice that you have measured the wavelength of the image of the waves on the screen. How is this apparent wavelength related to the true wavelength of the water waves?

The wave pattern may also be stopped by placing a barrier in the middle of the tank as shown in Fig. 2. The incident and reflected waves superpose to give a stationary pattern—that is, a standing wave. How does the distance between two bright bars in the standing wave compare with that in the traveling wave? Can you measure the wavelength from the standing wave pattern?

Can you detect a change in speed when the depth of water is changed to about 2 cm?

Moving your hand only slightly, shake a periodic wave into a coil spring. Adjust the frequency until a standing wave builds up. By measuring wavelength and frequency, determine the speed of the wave on the spring.

Without changing the length of the spring, can you produce standing waves of any wavelength you choose?

If you have two coil springs on which pulses travel at different speeds, hook them together, end to end. Try to generate a standing wave in both. Fix one end of the pair and shake the other end. How do the frequencies, the wavelengths, and the speeds in the two media compare?



Figure 2

II-9 Refraction of Waves

In Experiment II–8 we found that the speed of water waves depends on the depth of the water. Two different depths of water therefore constitute two different media in which waves can be propagated. This suggests that water waves can be refracted, for example, by allowing them to travel from deep water into shallow water.

Support a glass plate in the ripple tank so that its top surface is at least 1.5 cm above the bottom of the tank. Add water to the tank until it is no more than 0.2 cm deep over the glass plate. Be sure the depth of the water is uniform over the glass plate.

What do you predict will happen if straight periodic waves originating in the deep water cross into the shallower water when the boundary between the two media is parallel to the wave generator (Fig. 1)? Using very low-frequency waves, check your prediction with a stroboscope.

Now turn the glass plate so that the boundary is no longer parallel to the incident waves (Fig. 2). Are the refracted waves straight? How does the angle of refraction compare with the angle of incidence? How do the wavelengths in the two sections compare? What about the speeds? While keeping the generator running (to keep the frequency constant) try other angles of incidence.

Does a wave model agree with the refraction of light better than a particle model if we consider in which medium the speed of light is greater?

To establish the quantitative relation between the angles of incidence and refraction requires considerable care. Keeping the frequency constant, you can measure the angle of refraction for four or five different angles of incidence. Over what range should you choose the angles of incidence? What do you conclude from your results?



Figure 1



Figure 2

II-10 Waves and Obstacles

An opaque object placed in the path of a parallel beam of light will cast a sharp shadow on a screen behind it. The shadow will be the same size as the object. What happens when we place an obstacle in the path of a straight wave?

Place a small, smooth paraffin block in the ripple tank about 10 cm from the straight wave generator (Fig. 1), and generate periodic waves of long wavelength. Do the waves continue in their straight path on both sides of the block? Could you sense the presence of the block by looking at the pattern only near the far end of the screen? Does the block cast a sharp shadow?

How is the pattern behind the block affected when the wavelength is reduced by increasing the frequency? (To obtain clean waves at high frequency, the generator must be very smooth. Make sure there are no bubbles on its edge.) At high frequency the pattern is best seen by viewing it through the stroboscope with all slits open. Under what conditions would you expect the block to cast a sharp shadow?

We can let a parallel beam of light pass through a small opening. If a screen is held behind the opening, we shall see a light spot equal in size to the opening.

You can produce an analogous situation in the ripple tank (Fig. 2). Are waves of long wavelength still straight beyond the slit? Do the waves continue to move in their original direction? What happens when you decrease the wavelength step by step? Show in a few sketches how the pattern changes.

Now that you have observed the effect of the wavelength on the wave pattern behind the slit, how does changing the width of the slit affect the pattern? Try it with a medium wavelength. How must you adjust the wavelength to compensate for the change in pattern?



Figure 1



Figure 2

II-11 Waves from Two Point Sources

What will happen if two point sources near each other generate periodic waves of the same frequency? Try it in the ripple tank with the two point sources attached to the straight wave generator about 5 cm apart. How would you describe the resulting pattern? Are there regions where the waves from the two sources cancel each other at all times? How does the pattern change when you change the frequency?

Change the distance between the sources without stopping the motor (to keep the frequency as nearly constant as possible). How does this affect the pattern?

By applying the principle of superposition you have learned that for two point sources in phase, the direction of the nth nodal line far from the sources is given by

$$\sin \theta_n = \frac{x}{L} = (n - \tfrac{1}{2}) \frac{\lambda}{d}.$$

Check this prediction by finding the wavelength from the above relation, measuring x, L, n, and d, and comparing it with a direct measurement of the wavelength.

You will recall that straight waves passing through a narrow slit are strongly diffracted. If the slits are narrow enough, they will act like point sources. Can you repeat the present experiment, using the straight wave generator and two slits made with an arrangement of paraffin blocks?

II-12 Interference and Phase

In the last experiment we investigated the interference pattern produced by two point sources in phase. In this experiment we shall learn how a change in the phase delay between the two point sources affects the direction of the nodal lines in the interference pattern.

A generator in which the phase delay can be adjusted is shown in Fig. 1. Choose a separation between the sources and a wavelength similar to those used in the preceding experiment, and set the sources in phase. Do you obtain the same kind of pattern you obtained with your regular generator?

Now change the phase in small steps and observe the change in the direction of the nodal lines. Using the in-phase pattern as a reference, how does the position of the first nodal line change as you change the phase delay from zero to one? How does the position of the second nodal line change?

How would you expect the interference pattern to look if you could change the phase of the sources while the generator operates?



Figure 1. The two dowels on both sides of the motor are mounted off center. If both are up at the same time, the sources are in phase. If one is up when the other is down, the phase delay is one half.

II-13 Young's Experiment

We have seen the interference pattern made by two point sources in the ripple tank. If we looked at two light sources in phase, we would expect to see light of maximum intensity in certain directions and no light in other directions (the directions of the nodal lines). From the direction of the nodal lines and the separation of the sources we can calculate the wavelength of light.

Two narrow slits illuminated by a showcase lamp will provide the two sources. Their preparation is explained in Fig. 1. Look through the slits toward the filament of the light bulb from a distance of about 2 meters. By using



Figure 1. Coat a glass slide with a colloidal suspension of graphite and let it dry. Scratch a pair of slits as shown, holding the razor blades lightly together and using little pressure. Make several pairs of slits. Select for use those which show at least three clear, white lines when you look at the showcase lamp. Scratch a "window" across each pair of slits. This will enable you to see the pattern through the slits and read a scale at the same time.

To prevent damage to the slits, it is worthwhile to cover the coated slide with a plain slide and tape them together.



Figure 2



Figure 3. The interference pattern and the paper markers on the ruler can be seen simultaneously by looking through the slits and the "window" at the same time. The cellophane is held by rubber bands.

Fig. 2, explain why you see dark and light bars.

Can you suggest why the bars near the end of the pattern are colored? How does covering part of the bulb with red cellophane affect the pattern?

Now cover the whole bulb with red cellophane and place a ruler slightly above it as shown in Fig. 3. How will you determine sin θ_n for the farthest nodal line that is easily visible? By measuring the thickness of one of the razor blades with the optical micrometer, you can determine the separation of the slits. What is the wavelength of red light?

Repeat your measurements to find the source of the largest error. How accurate is your determination of the wavelength?

Cover part of the bulb with red cellophane and part with blue. Which color has the shorter wavelength?

How is the interference pattern affected when you turn the slide to form a horizontal angle of about 30° with the line of sight, instead of 90°? How do you explain this?

II-14 Diffraction of Light by a Single Slit

In preparing the double slits for Experiment II–13 you may have made some single slits inadvertently and noticed that they also showed a pattern of dark and light bars. To study them further, scratch several single slits, using both a needle and a razor blade.

Compare the pattern obtained with the double slits with the pattern of the single slits. Use both white and red light. As you look at the bulb through a double slit, try blocking off one slit of the pair by holding a razor blade behind the slide. What happens?

It is quite difficult to measure the width of the slits directly. However, you can determine it by using the value you found for the wavelength of red light, and the theory of single-slit interference.



II-15 Resolution

We can study resolution qualitatively by looking through small apertures at two small light sources that are close together. The light sources can be tiny holes in aluminum foil placed in front of a ripple-tank bulb, and the apertures we look through can be holes of different sizes punched in another piece of aluminum foil. Figure 1 shows such a setup.

Look at the two sources with one eye from a distance of about one meter. Be sure that bright light, directly from the filament, reaches your eye through the two holes that make up the two sources. Can you resolve the sources into two separate points of light with your eye? How large is the aperture through which you view the sources?

Look at the sources through one of the middle-sized apertures. Can you resolve the two sources? Now increase your distance from the sources and observe the change in their appearance. Find the distance where the sources are just resolved. At this distance look at the two sources through each of the different-sized apertures and sketch their appearances. Why does the resolution of the sources depend on their distance from the aperture? Why does it depend on the size of the aperture?

Figure 1. To make the sources, use a needle to punch two holes about ¾ cm apart in a piece of aluminum foil. Mount the foil directly in front of the filament of the 150-watt bulb.

The holes through which the sources are viewed are shown at the right. To make these holes, puncture a strip of foil with the tip of a needle, making the largest hole the thickness of the needle and the smallest just large enough to see light through.



While looking at the sources through the aperture that just resolves them, have your partner hold first red and then blue cellophane in front of the sources. How does the wavelength of the light affect the resolution? How do you explain this effect?

How would the sources appear if they were larger but the distance between their inner edges were the same?

II-16 Measurement of Short Distances by Interference

A thin layer of air between two glass plates produces light effects similar to those seen on a soap bubble. To see this, place two freshly cleaned glass plates, about 20 cm long, on a black background. Darken the room and illuminate the plates with green or yellow light. If the glass plates are very flat, you will see a few irregular bands of light reflected from the glass. What causes these bands?

Press down on the plates with a pencil. Can you make one of the bright bands move and take the place of an adjacent band? If so, how much closer have you pushed the top plate to the bottom one at that point?

You can measure the thickness of a piece of very thin material by inserting it between the plates at one end (Fig. 1). Be sure that the material is smooth and that the plates are very clean. (Hold the plates together tightly with rubber bands close to the ends.)

How much does the separation of the plates change between two adjacent bright bands? Count the number of bright bands in a 2-cm span. (A magnifying lens may help.) What is the thickness of the material? Compare your result with that obtained with a micrometer caliper. Which is more accurate?

What limits the range of thickness that can be measured in this way?



Figure 1

part III

III-1 Changes in Velocity with a Constant Force

We know qualitatively from everyday experience that we must apply a force to move an object from rest or to change its velocity while it is moving, but we are not so sure of the quantitative relation between the velocity changes and the force we apply. We can investigate this relation with the apparatus shown in Fig. 1. Be sure to clamp the bumper tightly to the table so that it can stop a heavy cart.

The cart, loaded with bricks and running on roller-skate wheels, can be pulled forward with a constant force by hand. To make sure this force is constant, we apply it through rubber strands which are kept stretched at a constant length as the cart is pulled along. As it moves, the cart pulls a strip of paper tape under the striker of an electric bell timer clamped to the table edge. From these tapes we can then find the velocity at different points on a run and can plot a curve of the velocity of the cart as a function of time.

The experiment is best performed on a



Figure 1



Figure 2

smooth, level table. If necessary, level the table with wedges under the legs and check with a spirit level. Crumbly bricks may be wrapped in aluminum foil or wrapping paper to keep their grit from getting on the table.

Before making runs to find how the velocity changes with a constant force, you should be sure that the cart moves with a nearly constant speed when you do not pull it. Load the cart with two bricks and make several tapes with the timer, giving the cart different initial pushes. Look carefully at the tapes. Is the velocity more uniform when the cart moves slowly or when it moves rapidly?

Now you can study the effect of a constant pull on the motion of the cart. Attach one end of a rubber loop to the cart as shown in Fig. 2. Hook the other end of the rubber loop over the end of a meter stick. While your partner holds the cart, extend the meter stick forward alongside the cart until the rubber loop stretches to a given total length—say 80 cm. Your partner starts the timer and a few seconds later, on signal, releases the cart. You move forward, pulling the cart while keeping the rubber strands stretched to the 80 cm mark. You will find it worthwhile to make a few practice runs.

Now attach the paper tape to the cart loaded with two bricks and run off a tape. If you could not keep the rubber stretched to a constant length toward the end of the run, discard the last part of the tape. From this tape, plot a graph of the velocity as a function of time (see Experiment I–5). It is not necessary to use all the marks on the tape in calculating the velocity. Instead, use groups of ten marks for a convenient unit time interval, measuring the velocity in meters per ten "ticks." Analyze only that portion of the tape which represents the part of the run where you are reasonably sure the force you applied was constant.

Run off another tape, using four bricks on the cart and the same rubber loop. Plot the data from this tape on your original graph. What do you conclude about the acceleration produced by a constant force?

Is the force exerted the only force acting on the cart?

Was the acceleration greater or smaller when a larger mass was accelerated?

III-2 The Dependence of Acceleration on Force and Mass

The change in velocity of an object is proportional to the time interval during which a constant force acts on it. In other words, a constant force produces a constant acceleration. This we found in the last experiment. Here we shall investigate quantitatively how different forces accelerate a given mass and how a given force accelerates different masses.

Acceleration Caused by Different Forces

Using one, two, three, and four rubber loops (Fig. 1, Experiment III–1) to produce the accelerating force, make tape recordings of the motion of the cart when it is loaded with four bricks. Find the acceleration from the tapes and plot a graph of acceleration as a function of the force, that is, the number of loops.

Since we know from the last experiment that the acceleration is constant for a constant force, it is not necessary to calculate the acceleration for many different intervals in the same run. Find the acceleration from the change in velocity during two equal time intervals that do not include the start of the tape where the data cannot be resolved. (Do not use a distance so long that it includes the last part of the motion, where it is difficult to keep the force constant.)

What do you conclude from your graph? What can you say about the ratio of force to acceleration in this part of the experiment?

Assuming no friction in the apparatus, should the graph pass through the origin? Where, with respect to the origin, would you expect your graph to pass?

The Effect of Mass on the Acceleration Produced by a Constant Force

With one rubber loop find the acceleration of the cart when it is loaded with two, three, and four bricks. Plot a graph of the ratio of force to acceleration as a function of the number of bricks.

What do you conclude from your graph? Do you have enough points on your graph to make it convincing? If you have time, try accelerating one brick and five bricks and include the results on your graph.

From your graph, can you express the mass of the cart alone in terms of the mass of the bricks?

How could you find the mass of a chunk of lead or a heavy stone, using the apparatus? Try it.

III-3 Inertial and Gravitational Mass

The inertial balance, a simple device for measuring the inertial mass of different objects, is shown in Fig. 1. Put different quantities of matter on the platform and qualitatively observe the periods of vibration of these masses. Is the period greater or smaller for larger masses? How do the accelerations of the different masses compare when the platform is pulled aside about 2 cm and released? Does this seem to be in accord with Newton's law of motion?

Find the quantitative relationship between the quantity of matter on the balance and the period of vibration by plotting a graph of the period as a function of the mass. You may do this in the following way:

First, measure the period of the balance alone by measuring the time for as many vibrations as you can conveniently count. Since the period of the balance is very short, it is difficult to count the vibrations visually. Hold a small



Figure 1



Figure 2

piece of paper near one of the steel strips and count the audible snaps made by the paper when the blade just ticks it. It may be easier to count in groups of three or four vibrations.

Select six nearly identical objects or unit masses such as C clamps. Now measure the period of the balance loaded with each of the six C clamps (Fig. 2). How many vibrations should you time and for how many seconds should you time them to make sure that your error is no greater than about 2 percent? To within what percent do the clamps have equal inertial masses?

Now find the periods with one, two, three . . . unit masses on the balance and from these data plot the period as a function of the mass (number of clamps) on the balance.

Measure the period of an object of unknown mass, of different material and shape—a stone, for example. Using the clamps as unit masses, find the inertial mass of the stone. Now by ordinary weighing find the gravitational mass, in grams, of each of the clamps. To within what percent do they have the same gravitational mass? Try to predict the gravitational mass of the stone from your previous measurements. Check it by weighing the stone. Is your predicted value within the estimated experimental error of your inertial balance?

If you had found similar results with other



Figure 3



Figure 4

objects, what would you conclude about gravitational and inertial mass? Are they equal? Proportional? Independent? Must the units of inertial mass be the same as those for gravitational mass? How would the results of this experiment be changed if you did the experiment on the moon?

To discover experimentally whether or not gravity plays a part in the operation of the inertial balance, load it with the iron slug. This can be done by inserting a wire through the center hole of the slug and setting the slug into the hole in the platform. The slug then rests on the platform. Measure the period of the loaded balance.

Now lift the slug slightly so that its mass no longer rests on the platform and hold it in this position by a long thread tied to a ringstand (Fig. 3). How do the periods compare in these two cases?

Would the period be different if the inertial balance were mounted as shown in Fig. 4?

How could this device be used to measure the acceleration of an automobile?

III-4 Forces on a Ball in Flight

Figure 1 is a multi-flash photograph of projectile motion. It was made by throwing a small ball into the air at an angle of 27° with the horizontal. The time interval between successive exposures was 1/30 sec and the ball moved from left to right in the picture. The ball's trajectory looks like those described in Section 20–4 of the text.

Examine the photograph. Is the horizontal velocity of the ball constant? What can you conclude about the resultant force acting on the ball if the horizontal velocity is not constant?

If we analyze the photograph in detail and find the changes in velocity caused by the resultant force, we shall learn more about the forces acting on the ball than we can from a casual examination of the photograph.

Analyze the velocity changes which occur during successive 0.1 sec time intervals (three intervals on the photograph) in the following way: Clip transparent centimeter graph paper or tracing paper on top of the photograph and mark the center of each image. Draw straight lines connecting every third point. These lines represent the displacement of the ball during each 0.1 sec and are therefore a measure of the average velocities during these equal time intervals. You can find the velocity changes in each



Figure 1. Scale: 1 to 10



Figure 2

of these intervals by the construction shown in Fig. 2a, where $\vec{v}_1$ is redrawn as a dashed line.

Is the direction of the velocity change the same in each interval? Are the magnitudes of the velocity changes the same? What do you conclude about the direction of the resultant force on the ball?

What change in velocity of the ball in each 0.1 sec was caused by the force of gravity? In what direction did it act? Express this velocity change $\Delta\vec{v}_g$ in meters per *tenth* of a second and subtract it from each of the total velocity changes $\Delta\vec{v}$ on your diagram (Fig. 2b). The velocity change due to gravity must also be reduced to the scale of the photograph before you subtract it on your diagram. By using a ruler,



Figure 3. Scale: Approx. 1 to 11.5. The meter stick is vertical.

you can see that the photograph is one tenth of its real size.

Do the residual velocity changes $\Delta\vec{v}_r$ all have the same magnitude? In what direction are they? Describe, qualitatively, the properties of the force that caused them. What do you think was responsible for the force?

What can you conclude about the mass of the projectile?

Plot on your diagram the path the ball in Fig. 1 would have followed if gravity had been the only force acting on it.

How do you explain the paths followed by the projectile in Fig. 3 and 4?



Figure 4. Scale: Approx. 1 to 11.5.

III-5 Centripetal Force

Motion in a circle at constant speed is an accelerated motion; although the magnitude of the velocity stays the same, the direction of the velocity vector is continuously changing. (Text, Section 6–7.) We know from Newton's law that a force is needed to maintain this acceleration. How is this force related to the object's speed, its mass, and the radius of the circle?

To answer these questions we shall use the simple apparatus shown in Fig. 1, which allows us to measure the force while observing the motion. When the glass tube is swung in a small circle above your head, the rubber stopper moves around in a horizontal circle at the end of a string which is threaded through the tube and fastened to some washers hanging below. The force of gravity on these washers, acting along the thread, provides the horizontal force needed to keep the stopper moving in a circle. This horizontal force is called the centripetal force.

With only one washer on the end of the string to keep the stopper from getting away, whirl the stopper over your head while holding onto the string below the tube. Do you have to increase the pull on the string when you increase the speed of the stopper? What happens if you let go of the string?

Now quantitatively investigate the dependence of the accelerating force on the speed, the mass, and the radius. First find out how the force depends on the speed, keeping the mass and the radius constant.

Pull enough string through the tube so that the stopper will whirl in a circle of about 100 cm radius. Attach an alligator clip to the string just below the tube to serve as a marker so that you can keep the radius constant while whirling the stopper. Hang six or more washers on the end of the string.

To find the rate of revolution of the stopper, have a partner measure the time while you swing the stopper around and count the number of revolutions. From the time and number of revolutions calculate the period and frequency $f = 1/T$. Repeat the experiment with larger numbers of washers.

Plot the period of the motion as a function of the number of washers. Can you think of a more useful way to plot your data? Try plotting the frequency instead of the period. Try f^2. What is the dependence of the centripetal force on the frequency when the revolving mass and the radius are kept constant?

To investigate the dependence of the centripetal force on the revolving mass, you could whirl two stoppers on the end of the string. What would you expect to find? On what do you base your prediction?

It is more difficult to investigate experimentally the dependence of the centripetal force on the radius when the frequency and mass remain constant. Can you suggest a way of doing this? What is the dependence of the centripetal force on the mass, the radius, and the frequency?

You will notice that as you swung the stopper around, the part of the string from the tube to the stopper was not quite horizontal. The gravitational force on the stopper pulled it down. Can you see why this effect of the gravitational force does not change the relation between the force (measured in number of washers), the length of the string from the tube to the stopper, and the frequency of revolution?


#4 2-hole rubber stopper
glass tube about 9mm outside dia., about 15cm long with fire polished ends. Wrap tube with 2 layers of cellulose tape for safety.
Cord about 1.5 m of fisherman's hard braided nylon casting line.
Alligator clip indicates movement of line up or down through tube.
Method of fastening stopper line
iron washers mass about 6 grams each
Bent paper clip as a stop for washers. Washers can be added or removed by passing clip through them.

Figure 1

III-6 Law of Equal Areas

Kepler discovered that the planets follow elliptical paths and that an imaginary straight line from the sun to a planet would sweep out equal areas in equal time intervals. We cannot experiment with the planets, but we can experiment with a pendulum.

The bob of a pendulum swinging in a small arc moves back and forth along a nearly horizontal line. When such a pendulum is tapped sideward, the bob describes an ellipse. In the case of a planet the restoring force is directed toward one of the feet of the ellipse. The restoring force on the bob of the pendulum is directed toward the center of the ellipse. In this experiment you will find out whether the pendulum bob also sweeps out equal areas in equal time intervals.

Take a multi-flash photograph of the bob of the pendulum as it swings through one revolution (Fig. 1). The camera is placed directly above the pendulum looking down, and the motor-driven disc is placed directly in front of the lens. The bob is illuminated with two floodlights. Best results are obtained if the pendulum swings through a maximum vertical arc of 10 to 15 degrees. The longer the pendulum, the larger the ellipse can be. If you do not have the necessary photographic equipment, analyze Fig. 3, which is a photograph taken with the setup shown in Fig. 1. Are equal areas swept out in equal times by a line from the bob to the center of the ellipse?



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3. This is an enlargement of Fig. 2.

III-7 Momentum Changes in an Explosion

Two carts are pushed apart from rest as the result of a sudden force—an "explosion" —acting between them. How do the momenta of the carts change?

To apply the sudden force we use a spring which we compress and suddenly release (Fig. 1). Release the spring with the cart at rest. What do you observe? Try this with different loads on the cart. What do you conclude about the horizontal component of the momentum of the cart before and after the explosion?

Place a second cart next to the first one so that the spring will push against the second cart when released. What happens now as you release the spring? Do this experiment with various loads on the carts. Qualitatively, what would you say about the velocities of the two carts as you load them with different masses? How do you think the momenta of the two carts compare after the "explosion"?

To make this experiment quantitative we need to measure the velocities and the masses of the two carts. But we do not have to know their velocities in meters per second; any unit will do. It is possible to find their velocities in terms of the distances both carts move during the same time interval. Suppose we release the carts just halfway between two wooden bumpers and they go at the same speed. We will hear just one sound as they hit the bumpers at the same time. If one goes faster than the other, it will hit earlier and we will hear two distinct sounds instead of one. We can, however, move the starting point so the faster cart has to travel a longer distance before hitting the bumper. After several trials we can find a position from which both carts will take the same time to travel to the bumpers. The distances traveled by the carts from rest positions are shown as x_1



Figure 1. To load the exploder, push the tube into the cart and lodge it behind the metal plate. To release, tap the pin at the front.



Figure 2

and x_2 in Fig. 2. The carts travel these distances in the same time interval t and, if they move at constant velocity, we can write for their velocities:

$$v_1 = \frac{x_1}{t}; \quad v_2 = \frac{x_2}{t}$$

and

$$\frac{v_1}{v_2} = \frac{x_1}{x_2}.$$

The velocities, therefore, are proportional to the distances moved in the same time interval.

Using this method of moving the starting point to give equal times, determine the ratio of the momenta of your carts after explosion. What is the change in momentum of each cart as a result of the explosion? Try this with different combinations of masses on the cart. Can you draw any conclusions concerning the total momentum of the system after the explosion compared with the total momentum before the explosion?

What would happen to the total momentum of your carts if, instead of the spring, you placed a stick of dynamite between them and blew pieces of the carts in all directions? (Don't do it!)

III-8 The Cart and the Brick

What happens when a suspended brick is dropped on a moving cart as the cart passes beneath the brick? Suspend a brick so that the cart can just pass beneath without touching it (Fig. 1). The hanging brick should be horizontal and motionless. Move the cart back, give it a push, and release the brick as the cart passes beneath it. What happens? Try the experiment again with the cart loaded with different numbers of bricks. What is the effect of increasing the mass of the cart by loading it with bricks?

To make accurate measurements, record the motion for both loaded and unloaded carts. Since you wish to have the motion as uniform as possible before and after the brick collides with the cart, start the cart with a reasonably high speed.

From the tapes and the masses of the cart and the brick, compute the change in momentum of the cart and the change in horizontal momentum of the brick. You can compute the momenta in units of kilogram-meters per "tick." How do they compare? What is the total horizontal momentum of cart and brick before and after they interact? Is momentum conserved?

What is the horizontal impulse applied to the falling brick? Try to estimate the length of time of the interaction by examining your tapes. Can you make a rough estimate of the horizontal force applied to the falling brick? How does this compare with the force the brick applied to the cart?

What happened to the vertical momentum of the brick? Would it make any difference if the brick were dropped from different heights as long as you didn't break the cart or the table?

What would happen if, instead of dropping the brick on the cart, you suspended a funnel full of sand above the table and let the sand run into a box on the cart as it passed beneath the funnel? What would happen to the velocity of the cart if, instead of letting the sand run into the cart, you let the sand run out of it?



Figure 1

III-9 A Collision in Two Dimensions

Previously we investigated the momenta of colliding bodies moving along a single straight line. What happens when two bodies go off in different directions after colliding? To find out, we shall roll one steel ball down an incline so that it makes a glancing collision with another steel ball of the same size, knocking it off a support near the edge of the table (Fig. 1). We shall then find the momentum of each from their masses and velocities.

To find the velocities of the spheres, we shall use what we have learned about projectile motion (see text, Section 20–3). We know that objects projected with different horizontal velocities from the edge of a table take the same time to fall to the floor. If we neglect air resistance, the horizontal component of their velocity remains unchanged and therefore the distance they go horizontally is proportional to their horizontal velocity. We can use this fact to measure the velocities of the spheres after they have collided.



Figure 1

To give an initial velocity to one of the spheres, roll it down the grooved ruler (Fig. 2). The target sphere rests in the slight depression on the top of the set screw. Place the set screw directly in the path of the incident sphere at a distance of three times the radius of a sphere from the end of the ruler. Adjust the height of the set screw so that the incident sphere will just clear its top when rolled down the incline from a point up the ruler (25 cm is a good choice).

Now, using the plumb line, find the point on the floor directly below the position of the incident sphere at the instant of collision.

Tape four sheets of onionskin or tracing paper together to make a single large sheet. Be sure the sheets do not overlap. Do the same with four sheets of carbon paper. Adjust the carbon paper, carbon side up, on the floor with the tracing paper on top of it; the plumb bob should hang directly over the middle of the shorter side (Fig. 1). Mark this point on the paper and weight the paper to hold it in place.



Figure 2

Release a steel ball 25 cm from the lower end of the ruler ten or fifteen times and circle the distribution of points on the paper. To what degree is the initial velocity always the same?

With a steel ball balanced on the screw, try several collisions, releasing the incident ball from the same point as before on the ruler. To change the point of collision, turn the arm supporting the target ball through a small angle. A numbered circle around each impact on the paper will help you identify the different marks on the paper.

Draw on the paper the vectors that represent the velocities of the balls after collision. The position of the target sphere at the instant of impact can be determined with the help of Fig. 3.


path of target sphere
2R
position of target sphere before collision
path of incident sphere
position of incident sphere at instant of collision
to ramp

Figure 3

Since the masses of the balls are equal, the velocity vectors represent the momenta of the balls. Add the two momentum vectors graphically on your paper, placing the tail of the momentum vector of the target ball at the head of the momentum vector of the incident ball.

How does the vector sum of the two final momenta compare with the initial momentum of the incident ball? Is momentum conserved in these interactions? How does the arithmetic sum of the two magnitudes of the momenta after collision compare with the magnitude of the initial momentum of the incident ball?

Repeat the experiment using two spheres of unequal mass but of the same size. Which one should you use as the incident sphere? How does the vector sum of the final velocities compare with the initial velocity? How can you convert the velocity vectors to momentum vectors now that the masses of the two spheres are not equal? How does the vector sum of the final momenta compare with the initial momentum?

Compare the vector components of the final momenta of the two balls in a direction at right angles to the initial momentum. What do you find?

For each collision involving equal masses, calculate the *square* of the speeds before and after collision. How do they compare? Does this suggest that something else is conserved besides momentum? Make the same calculations for the unequal mass collisions. Is the square of the speeds conserved? For unequal masses, multiply the squares of the speeds by the respective masses and compare the values you obtain. What else do you think is conserved besides the momenta?

III-10 Simulated Nuclear Collisions

Nuclear collisions are often studied in photographic emulsions and in cloud chambers. In these instruments, charged particles moving at high speed ionize atoms along their paths and leave visible tracks. The energy to ionize the atoms comes from the kinetic energy of the charged particles, which therefore slow down. The distance a particle travels in the chamber before it comes to rest is called its range. The range depends on the particle's kinetic energy as it enters the chamber. By shooting particles of known energy into the chamber, we can establish the relation between range and energy. We can then use this relation to find the energies of particles by observing their ranges. In this way we can find the energies of particles emerging from a nucleus as the result of a collision. If the masses of the particles are known, we can find their momenta.

There is strong evidence that momentum is conserved in nuclear collisions. When we observe a collision in which momentum appears not to be conserved, we conclude that at least one uncharged particle, which left no track, carried the missing momentum.

In this experiment you will study a situation analogous to a nuclear collision; the particles will be nickels and the emulsion or cloud chamber will be a sheet of paper which the nickels slide across until brought to rest by friction. The distance a nickel slides across the paper (its range) depends on its kinetic energy. To find the range-energy relation for a nickel, we can launch it down an incline, giving it different energies by starting it from different heights (Fig. 1). We then measure how far the nickel slides before coming to rest for each energy we give it. From the mass and the range-energy relation, we can find the velocity and momentum of the nickel when it comes out of a collision.

Before simulating a nuclear collision, we must find the range-energy relation for nickels. Select three nickels that slide easily down the ramp and have nearly the same range when sliding with the same face down. Find the distances these nickels slide on the paper for different release heights. Make several runs at each height and record the average range for each height. How is the kinetic energy at the bottom of the incline related to the release height? (Friction on the steep incline may be ignored.) A graph of the kinetic energy as a function of the range is the range-energy relation.

We are now ready to simulate a nuclear collision by placing a nickel (the nucleus to be hit) on the paper and sliding another nickel down the incline, giving it a known kinetic energy. (The incline corresponds to an accelerator giving an atomic particle a known kinetic energy.) The target nickel should be about 10 cm from the bottom of the incline to keep the incident nickel from bouncing over it.

The next part of the experiment should be done by one partner while the others are not



Figure 1

present. Slide a nickel down the incline at the target nickel. Record the release height on the incline, the final position of the incident nickel, and the initial position of the target nickel. Secretly record the final position of the target nickel by coordinate measurements along two edges of the paper. The other laboratory partners now find the momentum and final position of the target, which corresponds to an uncharged atomic particle leaving no visible track. To find the position of the incident nickel at the instant of collision, see Fig. 2.

What fundamental law have you assumed in finding the unknown momentum? What fraction of the kinetic energy of the incident nickel is lost in this collision?

Repeat the experiment using two nickels placed next to each other as the target. Find the "unknown" momentum and final position of one of the target nickels.



Figure 2

III-11 Changes in Potential Energy

Hang a spring from a ringstand and attach a mass of about one kilogram to it. Lift the mass a few centimeters above its rest position and let it fall. At the top and bottom of its motion it is at rest. When the mass is at the bottom of its motion, its energy is stored in the spring. At the top of its motion its energy is stored in the gravitational field. Compare the change in gravitational energy with the change in potential energy stored in the spring.

You can find the change in potential energy of the spring when it is stretched a distance Δx from x_1 to x_2 by calculating the work done in stretching it from x_1 to x_2 (Fig. 1). The change in gravitational potential energy when the mass falls this same distance Δx can be found by calculating the work done in lifting the mass through the distance Δx. You can then compare the gravitational energy lost as the mass falls from rest to its lowest point with the spring energy gained as the spring stretches.

To find the potential energy of the spring, we first find how the extension x of the spring is related to the force that stretches it. Hang known masses up to a maximum of about 1.5 kg on the end of the spring and find the extension x in meters as a function of the force F in newtons. Plot a graph of x as a function of F. Is F proportional to x for this spring in the range of your measurements?

If your graph is a straight line, find the spring constant $k = \frac{F}{x}$ from the slope and write down the potential energy function of the spring; that is, the equation for the energy stored in the spring as a function of the extension of the spring. How can you find the potential energy stored in the spring for a given extension if your graph is not a straight line?

Now hang a one-kilogram mass on the spring and support it with your hand so the spring extends about 20 cm more than its natural length when hanging without the mass. Use clothespins clamped to the ringstand to mark the lower end of the unloaded spring and the point from which you drop the mass. Release the mass and note how far it falls. Place a clothespin on the stand to mark the lowest point of the fall. Release the mass several times until you have accurately located the lowest point of the vibration.

Calculate the loss in gravitational potential energy and the gain in potential energy of the spring when the mass falls. How do they compare? Repeat the above experiment, releasing the mass from a point about 25 cm from the lower end of the unloaded spring. Repeat the experiment with a 0.5 kg mass and calculate the change in gravitational potential energy and spring potential energy when the mass falls from a point about 10 cm below the end of the unloaded spring.

Is energy conserved in these interactions between the masses and the spring? Are they elastic interactions?

What is the sum of the two potential energies when the kilogram mass has reached the halfway mark in its fall? How does this compare with the initial energy of the mass? How do you explain this? How could you check your explanation?

If you have time, plot a graph of the sum of the two potential energies as a function of the spring extension. What can you learn from this graph?



Figure 1

III-12 The Energy of a Simple Pendulum

In a swinging pendulum, kinetic energy is transferred to potential energy and vice versa. We can investigate this transfer by using a timing tape attached to a pendulum bob to measure the speed of the pendulum at different positions during its swing. To compare the kinetic energy and potential energy, we should be careful to express them in the same units—for example, in joules.

Hang a heavy bob, such as a brick, by a long string from a rigid support. The pendulum should be at least 2 meters long. Measure the length of the pendulum from the point of support to the center of the bob. Place a timer at about the level of the bob in its lowest position (Fig. 1). Pull the bob aside not more than 15° from the vertical and hold it in this position with a thread so that the line of action of the pull of the thread passes through the center of mass of the bob.



Figure 1

Start your timer and let go of the thread. The bob will swing through its arc, pulling the tape and making a record of the bob's position at successive time intervals. (Have your partner catch the bob just *after* it starts back at the end of its first swing.)

From the data recorded on the tape and from a calibration of the timer in seconds, plot a graph of the distance traveled by the bob as a function of time, measuring the distance from the point of release of the pendulum.

Using the data from your graph, find the velocity of the bob for at least eight different positions. Once you know the velocity and the mass of the bob you can compute the kinetic energy of the pendulum at any position. (What are the energy units if you use the brick as the unit of mass? What are they if you measure the mass of the brick in kilograms?) Plot a graph of the kinetic energy of the pendulum as a function of position. At which point is the kinetic energy a minimum? A maximum?

How does the potential energy change with the position of the bob? To find out, we need to know the heights through which the bob has been lifted. There is a simple relationship between the horizontal distance the bob travels and the height through which it is lifted. Calling L the length of the pendulum, x its horizontal displacement from the rest position, and h its height above the rest position, you can show that $h = x^2/2L$ as long as x is small compared with L. What is the potential energy of the pendulum at the positions at which you calculated the kinetic energy? Plot the potential energy on the same graph on which you plotted the kinetic energy.

How does the potential-energy change compare with the kinetic-energy change? On your graph, plot the sum of the potential and kinetic energies. Are you sure you used the same units for both? What conclusion do you draw concerning the sum of the potential and kinetic energies of the pendulum?

Why did we limit the swing of the pendulum to 15° or less from the vertical? Could you do the experiment with a larger swing? How would you determine the potential energy in this case? Do you expect the sum of the two energies in this case to be constant?

Why was it unnecessary to measure the mass of the bob in order to compare the kinetic and potential energies?



Figure 1. Attach centimeter tape to the dowel rod of the fixed cart. The insert shows the construction of a paper rider for marking the maximum compression of the spring.

III-13 A Head-on Collision

When a moving cart strikes a spring bumper, is all its kinetic energy stored as potential energy in the compressed spring?

To investigate this question, arrange the apparatus as is shown in Fig. 1.

Practice rolling the cart into the spring bumper a few times before making a run. Be sure you take your hand off early enough to permit a measurement of the cart's speed before it hits the bumper. From the speed and the mass of the cart you can calculate its kinetic energy. Roll the cart with various initial velocities and plot a graph of the kinetic energies of the cart versus the maximum compressions of the spring. For comparison of the kinetic energy with the energy stored in the spring, you will find it convenient to express both in joules.

To find the maximum energy stored in the spring in each run, you have to know the force the spring exerts on the cart as a function of its compression. To find this, turn the clamped cart on end as shown in Fig. 2, and with known weights compress the spring to several positions. Plot a graph of force versus compression of the spring. From the graph, determine the energy stored in the spring for each compression of the spring.

Plot on your first graph the potential energy you have just calculated for the spring versus its compression. How do the two curves compare? What do you conclude?



Figure 2.

part IV

IV-1 Electrified Objects

Much of the qualitative behavior of electric charges was discovered during the eighteenth century. Common materials like glass were rubbed with different kinds of cloth to produce electric charges. You can discover for yourself the behavior of electric charges by rubbing easily charged plastic strips with wool and cotton.

Hang a strip of cellulose acetate and a strip of vinylite by short lengths of masking tape from a crossbar of a ringstand so they can swing freely without twisting. Briskly rub the vinylite strip with a dry woolen cloth and the acetate strip with a dry cotton cloth. Do not touch the rubbed surfaces. Rub another vinylite strip with wool and bring it near each of the suspended strips. What do you conclude from the results?

Now rub another strip of acetate with cotton and bring it near the hanging strips. What do you infer?

Recharge the hanging vinylite strip by rubbing it with the wool. Stretch the wool by grasping it at both ends and hold it near the vinylite. What do you conclude?

Have you found one, two, or three kinds of charge? Assign names to each kind of charge you have found and use these names throughout the rest of the experiment.

Rub a comb, plastic ruler, or other substance that charges easily on your clothes and observe its effect on the two suspended pieces of plastic. Which kind of charge does the substance have?

What general conclusions about the electrification of bodies can you make as a result of your observations in this experiment?

What would be the result of changing the names you have given to the charges you observed?

What happens when you hold a charged strip close to a tiny piece of uncharged paper or thread?

IV-2 Electrostatic Induction

You know from everyday experience that electric charges do not flow easily in materials such as glass, ceramics, and plastics. These are called insulators. Other materials, mostly metals, in which electric charges move easily, are called conductors. In this experiment you will investigate the consequences of the free motion of charges in a conductor.

Place two metal rods end to end on glass beakers so they touch, and bring a charged piece of plastic close to one end of the rods (Fig. 1). (Do not get the plastic so close that a spark jumps between the plastic and rod.) With the charged plastic close to the rods, separate the rods by moving one of the beakers without touching the rods. Remove the plastic and transfer some of its charge to a small piece of foil hanging by a thread from the crossbar of a ringstand. Move one rod and then the other close to the foil. How do you explain the results?

Now bring the rods into contact again and then bring them near the charged foil. How does the charged foil behave when it is near the rods?

Bring the charged plastic again close to one end of a single rod and touch the other end of the rod briefly with your finger. Remove the plastic and test for the presence of charge on the rod, using the charged foil. Is the charge on the rod the same as or opposite to the charge on the plastic?

The metal foil you have been using gives an indication of the presence and sign of a charge but is not good for measuring the quantity of charge. An electroscope is a better instrument than a piece of foil for measuring charge. Repeat the last part of the experiment, using an electroscope in place of both the rods and the foil.



Figure 1

IV-3 The Force Between Two Charged Spheres

The force between electrically charged bodies depends on their separation and on the magnitude of their charges. The nature of the dependence can be measured in several ways. One simple method, which will be used in this experiment, measures the force on a charged body by balancing it against a known force—the force of gravity. We can suspend a small charged sphere with an insulating thread and bring another charged sphere close to it. From the deflection of the suspended sphere from the vertical, we can measure the electric force on it in terms of its weight.

A light, conducting ball *A* at the bottom of a "V" of very fine nylon thread is shown in Fig. 1. The ball can swing in only one vertical plane. Read the position on the scale of one edge of the hanging ball. The reading should



Figure 1



Figure 2. The scale and mirror of the apparatus shown in Fig. 1. The point of view is perpendicular to the scale at the position of ball *A*, whose image is hidden. To find the position of ball *B* on the scale, it is necessary to move your head slightly to the left until the image of ball *B* is hidden by the ball itself.

be taken when the mirror image of the sphere is hidden behind the real sphere. This ensures that your line of sight is perpendicular to the scale when you take a reading (Fig. 2). You can charge the ball by conduction with a charged penny (Fig. 3), and bring near it a like-charged ball *B* on an insulated support.

Take readings of the positions of the balls as *B* is moved closer to *A*. Be sure to use the same side of each ball every time you read its position. Some charge may leak away slowly across the surface of the thread and the insulating support, thereby introducing an error. How can you test for leakage? When should you test for it, during the run or at the end?



Figure 3. A penny with an insulating handle can be used to charge the spheres. Rub an acetate or vinyl strip with paper and place the strip on the table. Put the penny flat on the strip, handle up, and momentarily touch the top of the penny with your finger. When the penny is picked up by the insulating handle, it will be charged. The charge can then be transferred to the pith balls by contact.

When the suspended ball is at rest, the net force acting on it is zero. That is, the vector sum of the tension in the thread $\vec{\mathbf{T}}$ and the weight of the ball $m\vec{\mathbf{g}}$ is equal and opposite to the electric force $\vec{\mathbf{F}}$. From Fig. 4 it can be seen that, for small angles, the ratio of the magnitude of the electric force to the magnitude of the weight $\frac{F}{mg}$ is equal to $\frac{d}{L}$, the ratio of the horizontal displacement of the suspended ball to the length of the suspension. Hence

$$F = \frac{mg}{L} d = (\text{constant}) \cdot d.$$

Since we are not concerned here with particular units of force, we can measure the force in terms of d. We can, therefore, study the dependence of F on r by plotting D as a function of R.

Plot a graph of the force as a function of the separation of the two balls. How is the force at a separation r related to the force at a separation of $\frac{1}{2}r$? $\frac{2}{3}r$? What kind of dependence does this suggest? Plot a graph to check it.



Figure 4



Figure 1

IV-4 The Addition of Electric Forces

Suppose that two charged spheres, *A* and *B*, are brought near a third charged sphere *C*. How is the force exerted on *C* by *A* and *B* together related to the force on *C* due to *A* and *B* separately?

If we suspend sphere *C* by a single thread, we can measure both the direction and the magnitude of the force acting on it by measuring the direction and magnitude of *C*'s deflection. If we illuminate the spheres from above (Fig. 1), we can find the deflection of the suspended sphere and the positions of the other two spheres by locating their shadows on the graph paper taped to the table. We can then find out how electric forces add.

Mark the center of the shadow of the suspended sphere while it hangs vertically. Charge the three spheres with like charges. Keeping sphere *A* far away, deflect the suspended sphere *C* several centimeters with sphere *B*. How far away should you move one charged sphere when you are measuring only the effect of the other? Record the coordinates of the shadows of spheres *B* and *C*. (Why shouldn't you mark the positions of the shadow of the suspended sphere *C* directly on the paper?) Now remove *B* and deflect *C* with sphere *A*, recording the positions of the shadows. Then, using both movable spheres, deflect *C* several centimeters. Before analyzing your data, find out if any appreciable charge has leaked away. If it has, repeat the experiment, working as rapidly as possible to minimize charge loss.

Using the inverse-square law, you can calculate, for any distance, the force with which spheres *A* and *B* act separately on *C*. From your measurements, calculate the force each of the spheres *A* and *B* exerts on *C* when they act together. How do they add?

If you have time, repeat the experiment using different positions of the movable spheres.



Figure 1. An electron-microscope photograph of a few latex spheres of diameter 1.8 microns. Those used in the experiment are a little smaller.



Figure 2. The jar contains a suspension of the spheres in water. When you squeeze the bulb a fine spray of water with many spheres is blown into the region between the plates. The water rapidly evaporates, leaving a cloud of spheres visible through the microscope as bright points.

IV-5 Driving Force and Terminal Velocity

To answer the question "Is there a smallest unit of electric charge?" we must be able to work with and measure extremely small charges. We detect electric charges through the electric forces exerted on charged bodies. To detect very small charges, therefore, we must be able to handle very small forces. The weight and other forces acting on bodies of ordinary size are so large that electric forces are insignificant unless the charge is great; therefore, very small objects are essential. Useful objects for this purpose are the small plastic spheres made for calibrating electron microscopes. Figure 1 shows a few of them. The spheres are rarely neutral; most of them carry a small electric charge. We shall attempt to measure the charge by measuring the electric forces acting on them.

The apparatus is shown in Fig. 2. The only critical adjustment involves positioning the light source so that the image of the bulb filament is formed right at the center of the plates. To adjust the light source, you can hold a piece of paper vertically over the center of the plates, tilt the light source so that it shines on

the paper, and slide the light-source tube back and forth until a clear image is formed on the paper. The filament of the light source should be vertical.

Plug the wires from the plates into the connectors. CAUTION: The voltage is dangerously high; do not turn on the power supply until you have finished making the connections.

The switch controls the charge on the plates. In the center position there is no charge; with the switch up, one plate is positive, the other negative; and with the switch down, the polarities are reversed.

With the light turned on, squeeze the bulb to bring in a cloud of spheres, leaving the switch centered. What do the spheres do? (Note that everything appears inverted when you look through the microscope.) Are they all moving in the same direction? Are they accelerating as they move across the field? Select a sphere and measure its speed in two parts of the field of view. What forces are acting on it?

How does charging the plates affect the motion of the spheres? What happens when you reverse the direction of the electric field? Measure again the velocity of a sphere in two parts of the field of view to see whether it is accelerating when an electric force is being applied. An explanation for the observed motion is that the air resistance on these tiny spheres increases rapidly and they very soon move at a constant terminal velocity with the force of air resistance equal and opposite to the driving force (which may be gravity alone or gravity plus an electric force). In this experiment we wish to find the relation between the terminal velocity and the force driving the sphere.

You will need three measurements on each of about a dozen spheres. A set for one sphere consists of: a velocity in free-fall under gravity alone with no charge on plates; a velocity V^+ where the electrical force is in the same direction as the gravitational force and the magnitudes of the forces add $(F_g + F_e)$; and a velocity V^- where the electrical force is opposite to the gravitational force $(F_g - F_e)$. The diagram of Fig. 3 shows the forces involved.

For each sphere you will therefore have



Figure 3



Figure 4

three velocities: one for which the driving force is gravity alone; one, V^+, with an electric force added; and one, V^-, with the same electric force subtracted.

Measure the velocities by timing the motion over, say, ten spaces. Try to avoid fragments or clumps of spheres; look for those which move slowly in free fall.

Plot the data for any one sphere on a graph like that in Fig. 4. How does the velocity V^+, observed when the driving force is $F_g + F_e$, compare with the velocity when gravity alone is the driving force? How does the velocity V^-, observed when the driving force is $F_g - F_e$ for the same sphere, compare with the velocity when gravity alone is the driving force? What is the shape of the graph of velocity as a function of driving force for one sphere? What is the shape of the graph of velocity versus force for your other spheres? What can you conclude about the relation between velocity and driving force?

IV-6 The Millikan Experiment

In the previous experiment we established that the terminal velocity of the small plastic spheres is directly proportional to the driving force acting on them. We shall now use this result to investigate whether the different charges appearing on different spheres are multiples of a unit charge. If we are careful, we can choose spheres of the same mass and thus ensure that the force of gravity is the same on each. Furthermore, since we keep the charge on the plates constant, the electric forces will be proportional to the charges on the spheres, and the differences in observed velocity will be proportional to differences in charge on the spheres.

Set up the apparatus as in the previous experiment. CAUTION: Do not forget that the voltage on the plates is dangerous. Squeeze the bulb to bring in a batch of spheres; then throw the switch to charge the plates and sweep out the fast-moving spheres that have large charges.

With the switch you can get two combinations of forces: either $F_g + F_e$ (electric force in the same direction as gravity) or $F_g - F_e$ (electric force in the direction opposite to gravity). For each of at least 12 to 15 spheres measure the velocity of the V^+ run ($F_g + F_e$) and the V^- run ($F_g - F_e$).

If you were sure that all test objects were the same, you could analyze your results by making a bar graph of the speeds at a definite setting of the switch and looking for evidence that certain speeds are favored. But you have seen that many objects in the field of view fall extremely slowly or very fast, and in Fig. 1 of the previous experiment two spheres are shown clumped together. There is little doubt, therefore, that fragments and clumps are common, and we cannot be sure that all the spheres are of the same size.

By canceling out the effect of gravity we can minimize errors resulting from mass differences. We know that the terminal velocity is proportional to the force. For each sphere you have a velocity V^+ proportional to $F_g + F_e$ and a second velocity V^- proportional to $F_g - F_e$. If you subtract V^- from V^+, to what force is the resulting velocity proportional? Could you use

these differences of velocity as a good measure of the charge?

You know that the terminal velocity of a flat sheet of paper is not the same as that of a sheet crumpled into a ball. If you are observing a fragment or a clump, does its velocity depend upon its size and shape?

How does this affect the validity of your $V^+ - V^-$ data as measures of electric charge?

This difficulty can be eliminated by using only true spheres, all of the same mass. If you *add* the velocities V^+ and V^-, to what force is the sum proportional? How can you use this result to select a set of identical spheres?

There are various ways in which you could analyze these data to look for evidence of the discreteness of electric charge. One simple way is to plot $V^+ - V^-$, equally spaced along the horizontal axis, in increasing order on a bar graph. What evidence do you see for the existence of a natural unit of charge? What is the smallest number of charges you measured? What evidence do you see for the existence of a natural unit of charge? What is the smallest number of charges you measured?

IV-7 The Charge Carried by Ions in Solution

When two copper electrodes are placed in a dilute solution of sulfuric acid and connected to a current source, bubbles of hydrogen gas form on the negative electrode (cathode) and rise to the surface. If we weigh the positive electrode (anode) before and after the current flows, we find that it loses mass, indicating that copper goes into solution. Evidently, ions of hydrogren and copper must be formed to carry the electric charges through the solution. In this experiment we shall find how much charge is carried by each hydrogen and copper ion.

The arrangement of the apparatus is shown in Fig. 1. Pour one liter of water from a graduated cylinder into the dish. While gently stirring the water, slowly add enough concentrated sulfuric acid to give a solution containing about 5 cm^3 of concentrated acid for every 100 cm^3 of water. Both concentrated and dilute sulfuric acid are very corrosive! Be careful!

Now fill the flask as completely as possible with solution by sucking on the suction tube. Clean and weigh the copper anode and put it in place as shown in Fig. 1. Connect the electrodes, an ammeter, and a variable resistor to a source of current (Fig. 2). Run about five amperes through the solution until the level of the solution in the flask is the same as the level in the dish, carefully noting the current and the time of starting and stopping the current flow. Be sure to keep the current constant throughout the run. How many elementary charges passed through the solution?

Rinse and dry the copper anode and find the decrease in mass. How many moles of copper ions were formed? How many elementary charges were carried by each ion? What is the most important assumption you had to make in answering this question?

Mark the flask at the water level; then remove and rinse it and, from the volume of the gas, find the number of moles of hydrogen gas produced. What charge was carried by each hydrogen ion?

How would you use the apparatus to show that the mass m of material deposited or dissolved by a flow of charge q is given by the equation

$$m = \alpha q,$$

where α is a constant? How does the value of α for copper compare with the value for hydrogen?

How could you show that for each substance α is the mass per ion divided by a small whole number? What is the significance of this whole number?

Does copper leaving the anode deposit on the cathode? How would this affect your calculated results?



Figure 1. Insert the copper cathode attached to an insulated wire into the flask. Also insert the suction tube, which should be long enough to reach the bottom of the flask. Invert the flask and mount it with its mouth close to the bottom of the dish.



Figure 2

IV-8 The Charge on a Capacitor

A capacitor is made by placing two metal plates side by side with thin insulating spacers between them. If the capacitor is connected to a battery, charge will move onto the plates. In this experiment you will measure the amount of charge transferred by a battery to the plates and also find the relationship between the charge that accumulates on the plates and the potential difference applied to the capacitor.

The capacitor used in the experiment consists of two metal foils, separated by a paper insulator, rolled up into a cylinder. Try charging the capacitor with a battery, disconnecting the battery, and then discharging the capacitor through a milliammeter. How do you account for what happens?

Connect all the apparatus, except the milliammeter, as shown in Fig. 1. The meter should not be connected until the metal-strip contacts have been adjusted so that the timer clapper does not touch either contact when at rest and does touch both alternately when the timer is operated.

With the charging battery disconnected, connect the battery that runs the timer. Does the timer battery cause any current to flow through the milliammeter? Now read the current through the milliammeter with the timer vibrating steadily and one charging battery connected. Why does the meter now show a steady current? How many times per second was the capacitor charged and discharged? How many coulombs of charge were placed on the capacitor during each charge? How many elementary charges?

Repeat the experiment using two, three, and four charging batteries. What is the ratio of the charge on the capacitor to the potential difference? (This ratio q/V is called the capacity, and one coulomb per volt of capacity is called a farad.)

Try connecting a 100-ohm, a 200-ohm, a 10^3-ohm, a 10^4-ohm, and finally a 10^5-ohm resistor in the charging circuit. What is the effect on the charging current?

Connect two capacitors in parallel and measure the capacity. How does this compare with their separate capacities? Try two in series.



Figure 1

IV-9 Energy Transferred by an Electric Motor

An electric motor is a device which converts electric energy into mechanical energy. If the EMF of a battery supplying energy to a motor is ε and a charge q flows through the battery, the energy supplied by the battery is $q\varepsilon$. If the motor is used to lift a mass m a height h, the increase in the potential energy, or the useful work done by the motor, is mgh. Is the work done by the motor equal to the energy supplied by the battery?

The apparatus for this experiment is shown in Fig. 1. Be sure to mount the motor high enough so that the load of washers can be lifted about 2 meters. If the end of the dowel where the thread is tied is made slightly lower than the other end, the thread will wind up neatly. The details of the electric circuit are shown in Fig. 2.

With six washers on the end of the thread, you can vary the resistance until the washers are pulled up at about 0.5 m/sec. (The motor may not run steadily at lower speeds.)

What is the EMF of the battery? What is the current in the circuit while the washers are rising? How long does it take to lift them 1.5 m? How much energy is supplied by the battery to lift the washers 1.5 m? How much does the potential energy of the washers change? How does the energy supplied by the battery compare with the change in the energy of the washers?

Repeat the experiment at several higher speeds. How does the current change?

Draw a graph of the current as a function of speed and a graph of the ratio of energy from the motor to energy from the battery (the efficiency of the system) as a function of speed.



Figure 1



Figure 2

Now repeat the experiment again, starting with six washers and a speed of about 0.4 m/sec, but this time keep the resistance fixed and remove the washers one by one until two washers are left.

Draw a graph of the efficiency of the system as a function of the number of washers. What do you conclude about the condition for highest efficiency?

Consider the system made up of the battery, resistance wire, and motor. Where is the energy going that is not converted into potential energy? (Neglect the internal details of the motor.)

IV-10 The Magnetic Field of a Current

Place a magnetic compass over a long piece of wire and momentarily touch the two ends of the wire to the terminals of a dry cell. The compass needle moves. Apparently the current in the wire creates a magnetic field which deflects the compass. How can we find the dependence of the direction and magnitude of a magnetic field on the current that produces it? A compass will indicate the direction, since it aligns itself in the field, and the magnitude of the field can be measured by comparing it with the constant field of the earth.

First investigate the direction of the magnetic field in the center of a coil of wire in the following way: Wind the wire into a coil of several turns on a frame as shown in Fig. 1. Place the compass in the center of the coil and note the direction of the needle when there is no electric current in the coil. Now connect the coil through a flashlight bulb to a dry cell as shown in Fig. 2 and note the direction of the needle. The bulb keeps the current small.

Connect the coil directly to the terminals of the dry cell and observe the direction of the needle. (Do not leave the cell connected longer than necessary, because the large current flowing through the wire runs the cells down rapidly.) Turn the coil through a horizontal angle of about 30° and again note the direction of the field when a large current flows through the coil. What do you conclude about the direction of the field in the center of the coil due to the current?

Reverse the direction of the current and repeat the experiment. How does reversing the current affect the direction of the field?

With only one turn of the long wire on the frame, align the frame with respect to the earth's magnetic field so that the compass needle, placed at the center of the coil, lies in the plane of the coil. Again connect the ends of the wire to the dry cell through the flashlight bulb. The magnetic field produced by the current will be of about the same order of magnitude at the center of the coil as the horizontal component of the earth's field. Be sure that the wires from the coil to the cell are kept away from the loop so that the magnetic field from the current flowing in them will not contribute measurably to the field at the center of the coil. Measure the angular deflection of the compass needle. Reverse the direction of the current and again read the needle deflection.

Draw a vector diagram to find the strength of the magnetic field in terms of the earth's field.

Double the current flowing around the loop by adding another turn of wire to the coil and measure the compass deflection for both directions of current flow. Keep increasing the



Figure 1



Figure 2

current in steps by adding turns of wire. When you have finished taking data, determine the field strength for each case by means of vector diagrams or trigonometry. What do you conclude about the magnitude of the magnetic field as a function of the current?

What will happen if you wind the coil with some turns going in one direction and others going in the opposite direction? What do you predict will be the magnitude of the resulting field? Measure the field to check your prediction.

Could you have measured the field resulting from the current if, initially, the needle was not parallel to the plane of the coil? Will the



Figure 3

strength of the magnetic field of the compass needle influence the results of this experiment?

An alternate method of varying the current flowing around the loop is to vary the resistance of the circuit by means of a variable resistor connected with the coil. The circuit connections are shown in Fig. 3. If you have time, use this method. Are the field strengths measured for different ammeter readings consistent with the conclusions you have reached in the earlier part of the experiment about the field strength as a function of current?

IV-11 The Measurement of a Magnetic Field in Fundamental Units

In previous experiments we measured magnetic field strength in terms of the horizontal component of the earth's magnetic field. In this experiment we shall measure magnetic fields in more fundamental units, using the fact that a magnetic field exerts a force on a current-carrying wire. If we measure the force F in newtons, the current I in amperes, and the length of the wire L in meters, the strength of the field B in $\frac{\text{newtons}}{\text{ampere-meter}}$ is given by

$$B = \frac{F}{IL}$$

provided the wire is perpendicular to the direction of the field.

Figure 1 shows a sensitive balance that we can use to measure the force on a short length of current-carrying wire in a magnetic field. If the balance is so aligned that the end of the U-shaped metal loop (A in Fig. 1) is perpendicular to the field while the sides are parallel to it, only the end will be subject to a force from the field. We can measure the force on the end of the loop by balancing it with a known weight hung from the other end of the balance.

In this experiment we shall determine the magnitude of the magnetic field in the center of a long coil (a solenoid) of current-carrying wire. Connect the loop, coil, variable resistors, and ammeters to a source of current as shown in Fig. 2. Be sure both the pointed tips of the loop and the tops of the supports are clean and shiny so that good electrical contact will be made.



Figure 1



Figure 2

With no current flowing in the apparatus, balance the loop in the coil (Fig. 3). Level the loop by adjusting the position of the nut. Now, with a current of about 4 amperes, establish a magnetic field in the center of the coil. You can then measure this field by passing a current of about 1 ampere through the loop and finding the force needed to balance it. Roughly balance the loop with a short piece of string and then level it exactly by adjusting the current through it. (If the current in the loop fluctuates wildly when the balance is swinging, the contacts are corroded or rough.)

Find the weight of string needed for balance with other values of current in the loop. (The current in the loop should not exceed 5 amperes or the contacts will corrode.) What is the strength of the field in the center of the coil in $\frac{\text{newtons}}{\text{ampere-meter}}$? What is the field strength in newton-seconds per elementary charge per meter? (1 ampere $= 6.25 \times 10^{18}$ elementary charges/second.)

Measure the field in the coil resulting from several other values of current in the coil. (Five amperes is the maximum current the coil can carry without overheating.)

Do your measurements show that the field inside the coil is proportional to the current flowing through it?

Could you use this apparatus to measure the field near a small permanent magnet? Can you use it to measure the field of the earth directly?

Why don't you use iron for the loop of the balance?



Figure 3

IV-12 The Mass of the Electron

An electron, initially at rest, accelerates in an electric field and acquires kinetic energy equal to the product of its charge and the potential difference through which it moves; $\frac{mv^2}{2} = qV$. If the electron with velocity v then moves through a uniform magnetic field perpendicular to its direction of motion, the field exerts a centripetal force perpendicular to the electron's motion and the direction of the field. This force depends on the magnetic field strength B, the charge of the electron, and its speed; $F = Bqv$. The electron will follow a circular path of radius R given by

$$F = \frac{mv^2}{R}.$$

Equating the two expressions for the magnetic force, $F = Bqv$ and $F = \frac{mv^2}{R}$, gives

$$v = \frac{BqR}{m}$$

or

$$v^2 = \frac{B^2q^2R^2}{m^2}.$$

Substituting this expression for v^2 in the equation $\frac{mv^2}{2} = qV$ gives

$$m = \frac{B^2qR^2}{2V}.$$

Instead of using a tube like that described in the text for accelerating and deflecting electrons, we shall use a common commercial vacuum tube used in tuning a radio. Fig. 1 shows the construction of this tube. The electrons emitted by the cathode are accelerated by the potential difference between the cathode and the anode. They move radially outward in a fanlike beam, reaching nearly their maximum velocity by the time they emerge from beneath the black metal cap covering the center of the tube. Their speed is approximately constant over the remainder of their path to the anode.

The anode is coated with a fluorescent material which emits light when electrons strike it. Since it is conical in shape, we can see the path the electrons follow as they move outward from the cathode; when we look straight down from above, the conical anode slices the electron beam diagonally, showing the position of the electrons at different distances from the cathode. Two deflecting electrodes are connected to the cathode and, with no magnetic field pres-





Figure 1 (a). An electron tube or tuning eye with glass envelope removed.

Figure 1 (b). The metal center cap shown in (a) has been cut away from its wire supports and removed, revealing the important parts of the tube structure. K is the electron-emitting cathode. D and D' are the deflecting electrodes that form the shadow, and A is the anode coated with a fluorescent material.





Figure 2. The drawing (left) shows the shadow of the radial beam we expect to see when there is no magnetic field in the tube. On the right is a picture of the tube in actual operation with no magnetic field applied; the two narrow shadows are caused by the wires supporting the center cap.





Figure 3. The shape we expect the beam to have when the tube is in a magnetic field is shown on the left. The photograph on the right shows the actual appearance of the beam when it is deflected by a magnetic field.

ent, they repel electrons moving toward them from the cathode and form a wedge-shaped shadow behind them (Fig. 2).

When the tube is in a uniform magnetic field parallel to the cathode, the electrons are deflected in an almost circular path as shown by the curvature of the edge of the shadow (Fig. 3).



Figure 4 (a). Circuit connections for Type 6AF6 electron ray tube.



Figure 4 (b). Circuit for coil.

You will put a uniform magnetic field on the tube by inserting the tube into the center of a long coil. Connect the coil and tube as shown in Fig. 4. Set the anode potential to between 90 and 250 volts and then vary the current flowing through the coil until the curvature of the edge of the shadow is estimated to be the same as some small round object whose radius can be easily measured. A dime, a piece of wooden dowel, or a pencil will do.

Make measurements for several different anode potentials. (1 volt $= 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ joule per elementary charge.) Also, use several different magnetic fields. (How do you know the magnetic field?) Calculate the mass of the electron.

Would it be possible to use the earth's magnetic field to deflect the beam? How large a tube would you need? Assuming the earth had no magnetic field, would it be practical to determine the mass of an electron by accelerating it horizontally through a known potential difference and subsequently observing its deflection in the earth's gravitational field?

IV-13 The Magnetic Field Near a Long, Straight Wire

In Experiment IV–10 we used a compass to determine the magnetic field in the center of a loop of wire. Now we shall use the same method to find the field about a long, straight wire and its dependence on the distance from the wire.

Support a long, straight wire next to a sheet of graph paper which is aligned parallel to the horizontal component of the earth's field as shown in Fig. 1. The wire is held in position next to the table's edge by a piece of tape, and the graph paper is taped to the table top. Be sure there are no iron objects within 50 cm of the sheet of graph paper on which you will move the compass around. Except for the straight vertical section, all parts of the long wire should be at least 50 cm from the paper.

Allow a constant current of about 5 amperes to flow through the wire, and determine the direction of the field around it. To find the magnitude of the field, measure the deflection of the compass needle. Do this for different distances out to about 20 cm, moving the compass in steps along a line parallel to the horizontal component of the earth's field. If you try to measure the field at a distance comparable to the length of the compass needle, you will have large errors, since different parts of the needle are subject to widely varying forces. It is therefore best to start with the center of the compass about 5 cm from the wire.

How does the strength of the field due to the current vary as a function of the distance from the wire? How do you arrive at this conclusion?

Why was it necessary to keep the rest of the wire and iron objects far away from the compass?



Figure 1

How would the results have differed if the vertical wire had been only 20 cm long?

How would the accuracy of your results have been affected if you had used a current 100 times larger? One 100 times smaller?

Set up two parallel vertical wires about 20 cm apart in a plane parallel to the direction of the earth's field. Find how the magnetic field varies along a line between them (a) when the currents in the wires are in opposite directions; (b) when the two currents are in the same direction. How do you explain your results?

IV-14 Randomness in Radioactive Decay

Radioactive elements emit particles which can be counted by a Geiger counter. Each click of the counter represents the decay of a single atomic nucleus. What can we find out about the rate at which a radioactive sample decays?

Place the probe of a Geiger counter far enough from a radioactive sample that the clicks come slowly enough to count easily. Once the probe and counter are in position, do not move them. Make a few 10-sec practice counts and then count the clicks continuously for twenty minutes, recording the number counted during each 10-sec interval. You will undoubtedly find that the number of counts per interval will vary.

Make a bar graph of your results, plotting the number of intervals N, in which k clicks are heard, as a function of k. From this graph, what do you estimate the average counting rate to be?

Now add the count obtained in the second 10-sec interval to the count obtained in the first, and divide by two to find the average counting rate over a 20-sec interval. Then add the count found in the third interval to the sum of the counts in the first two intervals and divide by three to find the average rate over a 30-sec interval. Continue this process, interval by interval, until you arrive at the average counting rate over a period of 15 to 20 minutes. How does the average rate over the whole period compare with the estimate you made from the bar graph? Plot the average counting rates obtained in this way as a function of the total count used for each successive calculation.

How does the accuracy of the measurement of the counting rate appear to depend on the total number of counts used in the calculation? What counting rate would you expect to find if you counted clicks for two hours? Would this increase your accuracy?

Since only a small fraction of the particles emitted by the sample hit the counter, the counting rate you obtained is much less than the rate of decay of the sample. How would you calculate the average number of atoms that disintegrate in each second (the rate of decay of the sample)?

Can you determine the half-life of the sample from your measurements?

You can do this experiment using a cloud chamber and a weak radioactive sample on a needle tip inside the chamber. How would the counting rate be related to the rate of decay?

IV-15 The Spectrum of Hydrogen and Planck's Constant

The spectral lines of atomic hydrogen offer a good opportunity for comparing theory with observation. In this experiment you will measure the wavelengths of three spectral lines of hydrogen. From the numerical relationships between these wavelengths and the wave theory of atomic energy levels you can calculate Planck's constant.

Photographs (Fig. 1 and 2) and schematic drawing (Fig. 3) show the spectrometer you will be using. Several adjustments are necessary to bring the spectrometer into operating conditions. First you want to have the telescope focused on infinity. It is best to remove the telescope from its mount for this purpose, and focus it on a distant object. With the telescope back on its mount, look at the light source and make sure that the cross hair and slit are aligned vertically. Now, with the slit cap off, move the slit back and forth until you find the position that yields the sharpest image of the slit. Where is the image of the slit? To be sure the diffraction grating is parallel to the slit, look at one of the lines (*not* the central maximum) while rotating the grating. Can you tell when the grating is parallel to the slit? Why? A narrow slit compatible with a reasonably bright image of the slit is most desirable.

You know from your study of waves that the wavelength, λ, is related to the distance be-



Figure 1. Make sure the sheet of paper under the telescope base is taut.



Figure 2. After completion of all the adjustments, fasten the collimator and telescope to their mounts. Why is it important to have the grating exactly over the pivot point of the telescope?



Figure 3

tween the lines of the grating, d, and the diffraction angle, θ, through the following relation:

$$\lambda = d\sin\theta.$$

You can calibrate the spectrometer by trying it on a spectral line of known wavelength and marking the positions of the notch at the center of the base of the telescope with a sharp pencil. The distance between the two marks is proportional to λ. What is the constant of proportionality? A convenient line to use is the green line of the mercury spectrum (5461 A). You can see this line and a few others by using an uncoated fluorescent bulb or a mercury discharge tube as a light source.

Now replace the fluorescent bulb with the hydrogen discharge tube. The intensity of this tube is much less than that of the fluorescent bulb, and you may find it worthwhile to work in a darkened room. Scan through the range of angles corresponding to the visible spectrum. How many spectral lines do you see? What are their wavelengths?

We know from the study of inelastic collisions between electrons and atoms (see text, Section 34–2) that the frequency of an emitted spectral line, ν, is given by $\nu = \frac{E_i - E_f}{h}$, where E_i and E_f are the energies of the atom before and after the emission of the photon and h is Planck's constant. For atomic hydrogen the wave theory of energy levels (text, Section 34–6) tells us that the energy levels have the following values:

$$E_n = -\frac{2\pi^2 k^2 m}{h^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Let us denote the value of n for E_i and E_f in the first equation by n_i and n_f. Then, by combining the two equations,

$$\nu = \frac{2\pi^2 k^2 m}{h^3}\left(\frac{1}{n_f^2} - \frac{1}{n_i^2}\right);$$

or, since $\nu = \frac{c}{\lambda}$,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{2\pi^2 k^2 m}{h^3 c}\left(\frac{1}{n_f^2} - \frac{1}{n_i^2}\right).$$

From the ratios of the inverse of the wavelengths, can you tell which energy levels were involved in emitting your spectral lines? (Hint: Assume that the lower level is the same for all your lines.)

After you are sure of the values of n_i and n_f for the three spectral lines, you know all the quantities in the last equation except Planck's constant. Calculate it. Using all the information you have, what is the accuracy of your determination of h?